

1 4.13 POPULATION AND HOUSING

Issues & Supporting Information Sources	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

2 4.13.1 Environmental Setting

3 The Project is in a rural portion of Contra Costa and San Joaquin counties that does not contain
 4 occupied housing. The nearest populated area, other than live-aboards in the marinas located
 5 about 0.8 and 1.8 miles from the Old River site, is the in the city of Oakley, about 2.4 miles west
 6 of the Old River site.

7 4.13.2 Regulatory Setting

8 No federal, state, or local regulations regarding population and housing are directly applicable to
 9 this Project.

10 4.13.3 Impacts and Mitigation Measures

11 4.13.3.1 No Project

12 The No Project alternative would have no impacts on population or housing because no
 13 construction would occur.

14 4.13.3.2 2-Gates Project

15 **a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new
 16 homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other
 17 infrastructure)**

18 **No Impact.** Approximately 30 workers would be required to construct the Project facilities over a
 19 seven-week period; it is likely that fewer workers would be required to remove the facilities
 20 during the four-week removal period and during restoration. Given the small number of workers
 21 involved and the brief construction schedule, these workers would readily be available from the
 22 local population, and no influx of workers would be required. The only new permanent workers
 23 would be the gate operators, who would be required only from December through March and
 24 June. These workers could be drawn from the local population. No residences would be
 25 constructed as part of this Project, nor would infrastructure be extended into an area where it did
 26 not already exist. If electric power were used to operate the Project generators, it would be drawn
 27 from power lines that are already present at the sites.

- 1 **b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of**
2 **replacement housing elsewhere**

3 **No Impact.** No housing is present in the areas where Project construction would occur, and no
4 housing would be displaced.

- 5 **c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing**
6 **elsewhere**

7 **No Impact.** The Project is not located in a populated area, and no people would be displaced.

8 4.13.3.3 Cumulative Impacts

9 No cumulative impacts would occur because the Project would have no impacts on population or
10 housing.