Table of Contents

ΤΙΟΝ	5	Effects of the Action5-1
5.1	Overv	iew
5.2	Appro	ach to the Operations Assessment
	5.2.1	Modeling Basis for Operations
5.3	Constr	uction Effects on Aquatic Species
	5.3.1	Direct Injury and Mortality
	5.3.2	Noise and Disturbance <u>5-5</u> 5-4
	5.3.3	Turbidity and Resuspension of Sediments <u>5-7</u> 5-6
	5.3.4	Altered Physical Habitat <u>5-8</u> 5-7
5.4	Operat	tions Effects on Aquatic Species <u>5-9</u> 5-8
	5.4.1	Potential Effects to Delta Smelt
	5.4.2	Effects to Chinook Salmon and Steelhead <u>5-19</u> 5-16
	5.4.3	Potential Effects on Southern DPS of North American Green Sturgeon
5.5	Monite	oring the Effects of Project Response Monitoring on Aquatic Species . <u>5-24</u> 5-20
	5.5.1	Water Quality and Flow Monitoring
	5.5.2	Fish Monitoring
	5.5.3	Additional Monitoring or Enhancement of Existing Programs
5.6	Effects	s on Terrestrial Species

Tables

SEC

Table 5-1.	Planned 2-Gates on-site Construction Timing and Duration, the Potential Occurrence of	
	Aquatic Species during Construction Activities and the Occurrence of Critical Habitat at	
	Construction Sites	1

Figures

Figure 5-1	Longitudinal profile of modeled turbidity along Old River from Franks Tract to Clifton		
	Court Forebay showing the amount of the Old River channel with reduced turdity from		
	OCAP and the 2-Gates compared to historical conditions		
Figure 5-2.	Adult Delta Smelt Particle Distributions for historical conditions (HIST), OCAP		
	operations (OCAP-LB), and 2-Gates scenario (2GATE_LB-OPNCLS1). The difference		

August 19, 2009

5-i

	between OCAP and OCAP with 2-GATE is the comparison of lower left with upper right
	figures
Figure 5-3.	Percent of Particles Entrained at CVP+SWP). by Region during the Modeled Period
	under different scenarios (historic conditions, OCAP Upper Bound, OCAP Lower Bound,
	2-Gates Operations with OCAP Upper Bound, 2-Gates Operations with OCAP Lower
	Bound)

References

Adams, N.S., D.W. Rondorf, S.E. Evans, and J.E. KellyKelley (1998)	
Adams, N.S., D.W. Rondorf, S.E. Evans, J.E. KellyKelley, and R.W. Perry (1998b)	
Baskerville-Bridges, B., J.C. Lindberg and S.I. Doroshov 2008	
Bridges et al. 2008	
CALFED Science Review Panel 2009	
Carlson et al. 2007	
Carlson, T. M. Hastings and A.N. Popper 2007	
DFG 2002 cited in NMFS 2008a	
DFG 2002 cited in NMFS 2009	
ENTRIX 2008c	
Fisheries Hydroacoustic Working Group 2008	
Friesen 2005	
Hastings and Popper (2005)	
Hastings and Popper 2005	
ICF Jones & Stokes and Illingworth & Rodkin 2009	
KellyKelley et al. 2007	
Kimmerer 2008	
Nakamoto, R.J., T.T. Kisanuki, and G.H. Goldsmith 1995	
Newcombe and Jensen 1996	
Newcombe and MacDonald 1991	
Nightingale and Simenstad 2001	
NMFS 2008c	
NMFS 2008d	
NMFS 2009	5-7, 5-10, 5-16, 5-19
Popper, A.N., T.J. Carlson, A.D. Hawkins, B.L. Southall, and R.L. Gentry 2006	
Power Engineering 2008	
Reine et al. 1989	
Toft et al. 2004	
USFWS 2008	
Young and Mackie (1991)	

Not for Public Distribution • For Internal Review Only

² Effects of the Action

3 5.1 **OVERVIEW**

In Section 2, "Project Purpose and Description," of this BA we provide an overview of the Action, its location, the gate concept, planned construction, operations, and maintenance, and planned monitoring activities along with other measures incorporated as part of the proposed project to protect listed

6 aquatic and terrestrial species. Section 3, "Status of Species and Critical Habitat," and Section 4, "Environmental Baseline," provide an overview of

7 listed aquatic and terrestrial species and designated critical habitat under consideration, along with their current status and a description of various

8 factors already affecting populations both throughout their range and within the Action Area (the area anticipated to experience direct or indirect

9 effects of the proposed project).

10 The following analysis focuses on those factors that are the result, directly or indirectly, of the 2-Gates Project. After a brief description of the

- analytical approach used in this BA, this effects analysis is organized first according to project phase (construction, operations, or monitoring), and
- 12 second according to species groups (aquatic species and their critical habitat, then terrestrial species and their critical habitat). Determination of effect
- 13 This effects analysis is based on our current understanding of the effects of construction, operations and monitoring activities that are part of the 2-

14 Gates Project. Construction effects are evaluated relative to changes to existing conditions at the project sites – both areas contain rip-rapped levees

along both banks. Riparian habitat consists of a bed of emergent vegetation primarily tules and cattails supporting limited to little shaded riparian

16 aquatic habitat. Connection Slough is a constructed channel. Neither channel is regularly dredged to support navigation but may be irregularly dredged

17 to maintain or repair levees. Both sites are influenced by Delta inflow, tidal flows, in-Delta diversions and discharges and CVP and SWP water export

18 pumping operations.

19 The effects analysis of 2-Gates Project operations is based on extensive modeling of hydrodynamics and delta smelt behavior. Details of these models

- 20 are provided in Appendixces <u>A</u>-D and <u>E</u> and are summarized briefly in Section 5.2.1 below. Initial results from the modeling processes indicates a
- 21 decrease in the entrainment risk to adult and juvenile delta smelt and other species when the 2-Gates Project is operated in a concert with mprehensive
- 22 manner-with OCAP flows. Collectively, deploying and operating the 2-Gates Project can result in increased protection for delta smelt while providing
- 23 for reduced restrictions on water supplies.

Comment [PB1]: See comment re: population-level effects.

Comment [PB2]: A clear

determination of effect is needed for each listed species. This determination should address effects of the project on the species and their critical habitat. A table summarizing this information would help clarify the determinations made in the BA.

Comment [A3]: Check to make sure these are correct.

Comment [PB4]: Emphasis on setting the degree of entrainment protection that potentially can be achieved by 2-Gates by comparisons with high entrainment years like 2003 tends to overstate or inflate the degree of protection achieved because at least some of those years after 2000 represent high adult entrainment due to Article 21 pumping in the winter months and operations that may have contributed to the POD. The RPAs of the 2008 OCAP BO significantly reduced the likelihood of this level of entrainment. Entrainment in all of the different water year types under the RPAs of the 2008 BO represent the appropriate basis of comparison.

August 19, 2009

5.2 APPROACH TO THE OPERATIONS ASSESSMENT

2 The development of the 2-Gates Project employed an iterative process of model development and use. Progressively detailed model analyses were

3 applied to assist in site selection, project design, the development of the initial project operations plan, culminating with the effects analysis for this

- 4 BA. This section generally describes the modeling process used to evaluate effects on biological resources and presents initial model results and
- 5 essential findings.

6 It should be pointed out that the many modeling steps used differing operational assumptions and hydrology (See Appendix A). Results from previous

7 models were used to refine operations and improve subsequent simulations to better reflect operations under realtime conditions. This was a valid

8 process for transitioning from one level of study to another in an effort to refine and improve project operations. Because of this iterative process,

9 model results should not be directly compared between models.

10 5.2.1 Modeling Basis for Operations

11 To develop the initial operations plan, Resource Management Associates (RMA) developed and refined a series of hydrodynamic model analyses, to

12 examine expected effects from different operations scenarios. These models are summarized below, with details provided in Appendix D. If required,

13 time series model output, including flow (cfs), stage (ft), turbidity (NTU), and salinity (as EC), are available.

14 5.2.1.1 Hydrodynamics and Turbidity Modeling

15 The models of the Delta utilize the RMA finite element models for surface waters. (Appendix <u>AB</u>). The RMA models are a generalized hydrodynamic

16 model that is used to compute two-dimensional depth-averaged velocity and water surface elevation (RMA2) and another model (RMA11) that is a

generalized two-dimensional depth-averaged water quality model that computes a temporal and spatial description of water quality parameters.

18 RMA11 uses stage and velocity results from RMA2. The Delta model extends from Martinez to the confluence of the American and Sacramento

19 Rivers and to Vernalis on the San Joaquin River. Daily average flows in the model are applied for the Sacramento River, Yolo Bypass, San Joaquin

20 River, Cosumnes River, Mokelumne River, and miscellaneous eastside flows which include Calaveras River and other minor flows.

21 RMA ran a set of hydrodynamic, EC, and Turbidity simulations to form the basis of the initial gate operations schedule. The modeling study evaluated

22 how conditions change in the Delta under historical conditions, historical conditions operated under the OCAP RPAs and operated under OCAP RPAs

with the Project. Historical simulations were run for the period between December and July for 1999–2000, 2002–2003, 2003-2004 and 2007–2008.

24 These years were selected because they were the only ones with adequate data to support the analysis.

25 5.2.1.2 Delta Smelt Behavioral Modeling

26 Delta smelt distribution and entrainment was modeled with two distinct particle tracking techniques representing the adult life stage and the

27 larval/juvenile life stages (detailed in Appendix D). RMA developed a particle behavior model to simulate the movement of pre-spawning adult delta

smelt based on simulated distributions of salinity (represented as electrical conductivity, EC) and turbidity. Because turbidity is a key driver for the

Not for Public Distribution • For Internal Review Only

Comment [PB5]: Regarding the statement "... the many modeling steps used differing operational assumptions and hydrology." Where can this information be found? The Service needs to see a summary of the different assumptions and hydrologic input variables that were used. Modeling appendix

1 distribution of adult smelt, the optimum gate operation to minimize adult entrainment is based on controlling the dispersal progress oof the turbidity

2 plumes from the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers and reducing the turbidity along <u>a portion of Old and Middle Rivers between the gate locations</u> 3 downstream and of the export facilities.

4 Larval and juvenile delta smelt are considered to be small enough to represent as passively transported particles. Initial evaluation of gate operations

5 for minimizing larval and juvenile entrainment was performed by CH2M Hill. In that study, the DSM2 PTM (Delta Simulation Model II Particle

6 Tracking Model) was use to evaluate potential entrainment for smelt monitoring locations around the Delta. In this analysis a passive particle tracking

7 methodology (developed by Dr. Edward Gross with Dr. Lenny Grimaldo (USBR) and Dr. Ted Sommer (DWR)) is used to represent the spatial and

8 temporal distribution of larval and juvenile delta smelt, considering hatching rates, growth, and mortality. Hatching rates are derived through an

9 automated tuning algorithm that develops a best fit estimate of regional hatching rates from the historic 20mm Trawl Surveys.

Both the adult and larval/juvenile particle tracking analysis utilizes the RMA Bay-Delta Model for hydrodynamics and water quality simulation and
 the RMA TRK particle tracking model.

12 5.3 CONSTRUCTION EFFECTS ON AQUATIC SPECIES

13 Construction activities include levee clearing of rip rap and vegetation at each shoreline at each site, dredging (clamshell dredge), dredge spoil disposal, sheet pile dike installation (vibration-driven), king pile installation (vibration and hammer driven), boat ramps, placement of rock in the 14 channel and on levees, installation of the gate barge (the gate barge is the barge and the gate structure, control house and all wiring and electrical 15 components that are pre-installed on the barge, then barge is then towed to the site and sunk to the prepared foundation), and removal of the gate barge 16 and lock rock, sheet piles and boat ramps at the end of the demonstration period. Foundation preparation for the gate barge involves dredging peat 17 material from the channel bed, estimated at between 11,500 and 42,800 5500 cubic yards and effect from 25,200 to 55,200 square feet of channel 18 bottom for the Old River Connection Slough ssite and between 7,5000 and 11,300 cubic yards and between 22,800 and 32,700 square feet -for the 19 Connection Slough Old River site. Dredging will be from the channel bed surface to the top of the underlying compact sand layer (believed to be at ~-20 21 32 ft + at both sites).

22 Exposure of aquatic species to construction effects of the 2-Gates Project depends on the spatial and seasonal occurrence of different species and life

- 23 stages within the Delta (Table 5-1). Generally, the USFWS and NMFS have established allowable in-water work windows within the Delta which
- 24 would avoid or minimize adverse effects to delta smelt and listed salmonids. These in-water work windows are August 1 through November 30 for
- 25 delta smelt and July 1 through November 30 for salmon and steelhead. No in-water work window has been established for green sturgeon. The
- 26 <u>planned</u> period of in-water construction at the two sites may be up to five seven weeks and is scheduled for to occur from in-October to December and
- 27 November 2009. for the IL evee preparation, installation of the sheet pile walls, boat ramps, dredging for barge foundations, and the placement of

foundation rock are anticipated to take five weeks beginning in early October. Gate barge installation is anticipated to take , and two weeks immediately after the prep work is completed in early November for gate barge installation. At the end of the demonstration period if will require

about two weeks in July to, at the end of the demonstration period, for removeal of the gate barges, and all associated structures (e.g. sheet pile walls,

boat ramps, etc.) from the Old River and the Connection Slough channels and fill in the channel beds where the barges were set to previous elevations

32 with small rock. from the Old River and the Connection Slough channels. This work is scheduled for July 2014. The cavity in the bed where the barges

August 19, 2009

Comment [PB6]: See comment re: confining spawning to Sac River.

Comment [PB7]: The protective nature of the 2-Gates is overstated. This statement is based on entrainment estimates only and should refer to entrainment only. In the context of habitat availability, the 2-Gates would only be more protective than controlling reverse flows if the sole source of mortality to delta smelt is entrainment and not loss of breeding, feeding, sheltering, or migration habitat.

Comment [PB8]: Are the results of the initial DSM2 modeling and assumptions available for review?

Comment [PB9]: Although it is true that the delta smelt behavior models could be useful for predicting distribution, abundance, and fate of delta smelt relative to OCAP and the pumps, it is not true that the usefulness extends to both OCAP and 2-Gates. This is because operation of 2-Gates will make it impossible to test the applicability of delta smelt behavior models relative to existing operations. We suggest that lines 47 through 49 be rephrased to reflect this distinction.

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [PB10]: Construction impacts are only discussed through November, although verbally we have been advised that it could last through December. The document discusses inwater construction as early as September, which would be prior to finalization of all environmental review and decision documents. The final BA should anticipate the potential to construct outside of normal work windows for species such as giant garter snake and delta smelt. were set will be brought up to channel bed elevations with small rock. All in water work for the 2 Gates Project is planned to be conducted within the

in-water work windows already established by the fish agencies to limit project impacts to listed salmonids (winter-run and spring-run Chinook, CV steelhead) and delta smelt. Therefore, it is anticipated that the immediate effects of construction on listed fishes will be minimal, although some 2

3

individuals may still be found within the Project work areas.

Table 5-1. Planned 2-Gates on-site Construction Timing and Duration, -and the Likely Potential Occurrence of Aquatic Species during Construction Activities and the Occurrence of Critical Habitat at Construction Sites

				Critical Habitat <u>at</u>			
Construction Activity	Timing	Duration	Species Likely Potentially Occurring at Construction Sites	Construction Sites	7		
Construction of sheet pile walls, dredging barge foundation,	October early November	Five weeks	Delta smelt (adult, juveniles)				
installation of barge rock base and	2009	2009	2009		winter-run Chinook salmon (juveniles, adults[Nov])		see that Table 5.1 shows that
			spring-run Chinook salmon (juveniles[Nov])		construction on the sheet pile wall,		
			steelhead (juveniles, adults)		foundation rock would take place in		
			CV steelhead (adult, juvenile)		Sept./October 2009. Why say construction will start in Sept. if that		
			Green sturgeon (adult, juvenile)	Dalta amalt	is before the NEPA/CEQA decision		
Installation of barge with gates and anchor rock	November -December 2009	Two weeks	Delta smelt (adult, juveniles)	CV Steelboad			
			winter-run Chinook salmon (juveniles, adults)	Croop Sturgoop			
			spring-run Chinook salmon (juveniles)	Green Sturgeon			
			CV-steelhead (adult, juvenile)-unlikely				
			Green sturgeon (juvenile)				
			Spring-run Chinook (juvenile possible but unlikely)				
Removal of <u>gate barges gates from both sites and</u> sheet pile dikes.	July 2014	Two weeks	steelhead (juvenile)]			
and all associated in-water structures from Old River only			Green sturgeon (adult, juvenile)				

5

1

4

Species and life stages that could potentially occur near at the gate sites during planned in-water construction in October November periods include 6 delta smelt (adults), Sacramento River winter-run Chinook salmon (early migrating adults and rearing juveniles), Central Valley spring-run Chinook 7 8 salmon (juveniles), Central Valley CV-steelhead (early migrating adults and rearing juveniles) and green sturgeon (migrating adults, juveniles) (see Table 5-1). , with a low The probability of winter run Chinook (juveniles) these species/life stages occurring at the gate sites during construction, 9 <u>however</u>, is anticipated to be low. Species that could potentially occur during gate barge installation in November include CV steelhead (migrating adults and juveniles), and green sturgeon (juveniles), with a lower probability of occurrence for spring run Chinook (juveniles) and CV steelhead 10 11 (juveniles). Species that could potentially occur near the sites during gate removal in July include green sturgeon (adults and juveniles), with a low 12 13 probability of CV steelhead (juveniles still in the Delta). Adult winter-run and spring-run Chinook would not likely occur at the Project sites because they stay primarily in the Sacramento River during their upstream migration. Even though in-water construction is planned to occur within generally 14 established in-water work windows to avoid effects to listed fish species, the possibility exists that some individuals of these species may be present in 15

5-4

- 1 low numbers. In addition, unforeseen circumstances (i.e. delays in permitting, equipment failure, construction delays) may delay initiation or
- 2 completion of in-water construction on the planned schedule, resulting in the potential need to complete in-water construction outside of normal work
- 3 windows. As a result, contingency plans may need to be developed in consultation with DFG, FWS, and NMFS to evaluate the risk of exposure to
- 4 listed fish and develop appropriate avoidance and/or minimization measures. The two-construction sites on Old River and Connection Slough are
- 5 located within designated critical habitat for delta smelt, CV steelhead, and green sturgeon (proposed). The existing habitat primarily functions as
- critical freshwater and estuarine rearing and migratory corridors for juveniles of all species and as migratory corridors for adult salmon steelhead and
 sturgeon.
- 8 The existing habitat quality of these leveed, riprapped Delta channels for rearing of juvenile salmonids is poor.
- 9 Theis following discussion assessment examines several potential effects from construction activities:
- 10 Direct injury or mortality from dredging and placement of rock and the gate barges
- Behavioral, physiological or physical habitat changes or impairment in response to, or as a result of:
- 12 Underwater noise and disturbance
- 13 Turbidity and/or resuspension of sediments and contaminants and resettling on benthic habitats
- Alteration of benthic habitat by placement of rocks, sheet piles and the barges.

15 5.3.1 Direct Injury and Mortality

Construction activities include dredging, sheet pile wall and king pile installation, rock placement, gate barge installation, boat ramp installation and 16 the removal of the gate barges and all associated structures at the end of the demonstration period. Dredging will be conducted using a bucket dredge 17 rather than a hydraulic or suction dredge, thereby avoiding the some of the impacts most typically associated with dredging (entrainment of fish). The 18 principal risk of direct injury and mortality to listed species would be from striking, collision or capture in the dredge bucket or crushing due to 19 20 placement of rock or installation of the gate barge and sheet pile dikes and king piles (especially for bottom dwelling species such as green sturgeon). By using a bucket dredge and disposing of spoils on land, the Project will avoid other potential effects of dredging, such as burial of fish entrainment 21 22 and burial of and benthic organisms and habitat by water side disposed sediments (Reine et al. 1989, Nightingale and Simenstad 2001, Hoover et al. 23 2005).

24 5.3.2 Noise and Disturbance

Noise associated with construction activities of the 2-Gates Project has the potential to adversely affect aquatic species. Transient noise from dredging, foundation preparation (i.e. rock placement), vibratory sheet and king pile driving, surface machinery, and topside activities on the construction barge

decks during installation of the gate structures on site may have adverse effects on fish in close proximity to the noise source. This effect is expected to

August 19, 2009

be localized and temporary in nature. Furthermore, these activities will occur during periods when few listed species are likely present in the area 1

(delta smelt, green sturgeon and CV steelhead). 2

3 High levels of underwater noise can adversely affect some fish species¹. The effects of pile driving on fish have been assessed by NMFS and others

4 (Hastings and Popper 2005; Popper, A.N., T.J. Carlson, A.D. Hawkins, B.L. Southall, and R.L. Gentry 2006; Carlson et al. 2007; NMFS 2008d). The

2-Gates Project will use a vibratory hammer to install the sheet pile dikes and king piles (wall) between the gate structure and the levee at each site 5

(see appendix G for details). Vibratory hammers are generally much quieter than impact hammers and are routinely used on smaller piles (ICF Jones & 6 7

Stokes and Illingworth & Rodkin 2009). Information is not currently available regarding transient underwater noise associated with dredging, rock

placement, surface machinery and topside activities on the barge decks. However, it is not expected that these noise levels will reach the same levels as 8 9 from pile driving.

Fish impacts from exposure to pile driving activities were reviewed by Hastings and Popper (2005), and recommendations provided to protect fish 10

from physical injury (Popper, A.N., T.J. Carlson, A.D. Hawkins, B.L. Southall, and R.L. Gentry 2006; Carlson, T. M. Hastings and A.N. Popper 11

2007). In 2008 NMFS, USFWS and DFG adopted interim criteria of a peak sound pressure level of 208 decibels (dB) referenced to 1 µpascal per 12

second (re: 1µPa²-s) and a cumulative sound exposure level (SEL) of 187 dB re: 1µPa²-s (Fisheries Hydroacoustic Working Group 2008, ICF Jones & 13

Stokes and Illingworth & Rodkin 2009). Although these criteria were specific to impact or percussive pile driving, they have served as a general 14

15 guideline for noise thresholds for the onset of physical injury in fish exposed to the impact sound associated with pile driving (NMFS 2008d).

Sheet and king pile driving is expected to generate the greatest levels of underwater noise. Rock placement is also expected to generate underwater 16

noise. These activities may generate sharp transient noises from metal components (buckets, scoops, etc.) striking rock that will propagate into the 17

water column. The noise will be transient, occurring over a five week period. The 2-Gates Project will use a vibratory hammer for sheet pile driving 18

and the initial driving of king piles, which is quieter (ICF Jones & Stokes and Illingworth & Rodkin 2009). Although peak sound levels vibratory 19

hammers can be substantially less than those produced by impact hammers, the total energy imparted can be comparable to impact driving because the 20 vibratory hammer operates continuously and requires more time to install the pile (ICF Jones & Stokes and Illingworth & Rodkin 2009). Sound levels

21 22 during vibratory pile driving were measured at the City of Stockton Downtown Marina (ENTRIX 2008c). Peak sound pressure levels ranged from 184

- to 202 dB re: 1 μ Pa, while accumulated SEL's ranged from 181 to 195 dB re: 1 μ Pa²-sec, as measured at 10 meters from the pile and mid-water depth 23
- (approximately 2 to 3 meters below the water surface). The duration of pile driving ranged approximately 6-12 minutes, with periods of 11 71 24
- minutes between pile driving (Power Engineering and City of Stockton 2008). The peak sound pressure levels were below recommended levels, while 25
- the accumulated SEL's slightly exceeded the recommended criteria by 8 dB re: $1\mu Pa^2$ sec. It is anticipated that pile driving associated with the 2-Gates 26
- 27 Project would have similar results in terms of SEL and peak sound pressure levels. During the 5-week period of observing each pile installation,
- 28 technicians did not observe effects on salmonids or other species related to the pile installations. It is anticipated that pile driving associated with the 2-
- Gates Project would have similar results in terms of SEL and peak sound pressure levels and effects on aquatic species. This combined with the 29

Three metrics are commonly used in evaluating hydroacoustic impacts on fish: peak sound pressure level (LPEAK), root mean square (RMS) sound pressure. and sound exposure level (SEL) (ICF Jones & Stokes and Illingworth & Rodkin 2009). SEL is defined as the constant sound level acting for one second, which has the same amount of acoustic energy as the original sound (Hastings and Popper 2005). Reference sound levels from pile driving normally are reported at a fixed distance of 10 meters. Underwater peak and RMS decibel levels are usually referenced to 1 micropascal (µPa), and the SEL is referenced to 1 micropascal squared per second (dB re: 1µPa²-s). (Hastings and Popper 2005).

relatively short duration expected to drive each king pile and sheet pile along with an anticipated period between pile driving, and the timing of work
 within established in-water work windows suggest that physical injury to fish is unlikely.

Anticipated responses of any fish within the work area would more likely be behavioral in nature (startle response, avoidance etc.), although these would diminish with distance from the construction sites. Hastings and Popper (2005) concluded that data are lacking on behavioral responses to pile driving, such as a startle response to noise or movement away from highly utilized habitats impacted by sound. Carlson, T. M. Hastings and A.N. Popper (2001 cited in NMFS 2008c) reported migrating juvenile salmon reacting with startle behavior in response to routine channel maintenance activities in the Columbia River. Some of the fish that did not immediately recover from the disorientation of turbidity and noise from channel dredges and pile driving swam directly into the point of contact with predators.

9 5.3.3 <u>Turbidity and Resuspension of Sediments</u>

10 The main impact from construction is likely to be resuspension of channel sediments during in-channel activities. Site preparation in September and

11 October includes dredging, followed by pile driving and installation of the sheet pile dike and rock placement. In November, the barge with gate will

12 be installed and lock rock placed. Sediments resuspended during dredging operations pose a variety of water quality and ecological concerns

13 (Nightingale and Simenstad 2001, Bridges et al. 2008, Newcombe and MacDonald 1991) ranging from lethal to sublethal to behavioral. The turbidity

plume in the immediate vicinity of a dredging operation could influence the behavior or health, and to a lesser degree growth, of fish and other

organisms such as aquatic macroinvertebrates. Young and Mackie (1991) found that benthic macroinvertebrates inhabiting the upper surface of the

substrate may be more adaptable to sedimentation than are taxa occupying the interstitial spaces of the substrates. The background conditions of fine

sediment and peat suggests that the benthos is conditioned to turbid environments and resuspension and eventual resettling of fines should have

18 minimal impacts to that resource. The change from background levels, the type of suspended sediment, its concentration and duration, and species and

19 life stage of fish are all factors to consider in evaluating the effect of exposure (Newcombe and Jensen 1996). Some effects that could occur in the

20 Delta include avoidance of a turbidity plume and altered foraging and predation dynamics.

21 Foundation preparation for the gate barge consists of dredging peat material estimated at 5,500 cubic yards for Connection Slough and 7,000 cubic

22 yards for Old Riverand the placement of rock bedding material. Dredging the peat sediment, which are rich in fulvic and humic acids, is expected to

release a combination of organic and inorganic sediments into the water column, with associated potential reductions in dissolved oxygen. Barrier

construction activities would increase localized turbidity at the two project sites that would extend down current from the installation site due to tidal flow. Although this increase in turbidity may affect fish by inducing avoidance of the plume, temporarily disrupting feeding, or disrupting resting or

movement behavior, green sturgeon and steelhead are strong swimmers capable of moving away from the area of disturbance. Peat also provides an

effective filtering function and its instream role of filtering would be transferred to the remaining peat bottom.

These effects would be limited in scope, due to the relatively small construction area and limited duration of construction. Once in-water construction stops, water quality is expected to return to background levels within a few hours, depending on hydrodynamics and the amount and size of fines in the channel sediments. The potential for exposure is therefore limited to those fish that may be present during the construction season (green sturgeon and

31 juvenile steelhead) and they would avoid adverse conditions.

1 In-water construction activities also have the potential to distribute sediment-borne contaminants, if present, into the water column and onto nearby

2 substrate, where they could be taken up by benthic organisms. Resuspension of contaminated sediments could have adverse effects on fishes that

3 encounter the sediment plume, even at low turbidity levels. These effects will be localized and temporary, although some effects could persist if the

4 mobilized sediments are contaminated and enter the benthic food chain. Contaminant mobilization, contaminant leaching, bioaccumulation, and

5 trophic transfer through the food web can occur during or as a result of the dredging (Baskerville-Bridges, B., J.C. Lindberg and S.I. Doroshov 2008).

6 Green sturgeon could be affected because they are benthic foragers and can bioaccumulate contaminants over their long lifespan. The potential for this

7 effect is related to the degree of contaminants in the sediments to be dredged and the total area disturbed. It is not known whether contaminated

8 sediments are present at the two construction sites.

9 Construction vessels could potentially release contaminants into the water column due to runoff of oil-based materials during operations. This could

affect fish through impaired water quality and substrate quality. Surface contaminants would be addressed in a Spill and Pollution Prevention Plan,

11 which will outline actions to reduce impacts from this activity and address responses to potential spills. The implementation of BMPs and other

12 protection measures would mitigate the potential effects on fishes and their habitat.

13 5.3.4 Altered Physical Habitat

14 Installation of the rock foundation and the barges would directly affect a total of about $\underline{870}, \underline{9900}$ square feet (approximately $\underline{24}, \underline{16}$ acres) of total

15 channel bottom within the Delta. The <u>maximum</u> amount <u>of channel bottom disturbed</u> at each site is approximately <u>55,200</u> square feet (<u>1.270.76</u>

acre) at the Old River site and approximately 362,77500 square feet (0.7584 acre) at the Connection Slough site. The Old River channel bed is

17 estimated at approximately 1,200 acres between the Clifton Court intake structure and the San Joaquin River. Altered habitat affected represents about

18 <u>0.16 percent of the habitat available within Old River alone.</u>

This action would replace soft bottom habitat of peat and mud with rocky bottom habitat, resulting from the rock locking fill, and two solid deck barges. As a result, the benthic community structure will be altered within the footprint of the gate structures. Species adapted to the soft peat and mud habitat will be replaced, in these particular areas, with those more adapted to firm rock and/or solid bottom surfaces. This alteration or reduction of the benthic community could potentially change the foraging habitat for green sturgeon potentially occupying the area. Data is lacking regarding the occurrence of green sturgeon within the project sites although juvenile green sturgeon are collected at the CVP and SWP fish facilities so, by inference, some of these fish must pass by the gate structures. The alteration of physical habitat at the gate sites within the channels of Old River and Connection Slough would not be expected to adversely affect the pelagic feeding habitat of delta smelt.

26 The gate structures <u>may would likely attract predatory fish</u>, and potentially thereby increaseing predation risk by attracting predators fish that would

27 <u>feed on for delta smelt and juvenile salmonids passing by the gate sturcure. The increased predation would come from a concentration of predators</u>

28 oecupying an the area that provides feeding opportunities not otherwise available in Old River. - The predation risk for green sturgeon is not expected

29 to be increased much because of green sturgeon in this region of the Delta are a their larger size (juveniles 200-500 mm) compared to delta smelt or

30 <u>juvenile salmonids</u> and <u>have</u> protective scutes (NMFS 2009). Gate structure installation would also alter near field channel hydraulics changing the

channel from mostly <u>non-turbulentlaminar</u> flow to <u>periodically</u> locally turbulent flow conditions around the gate structures during portions of the tidal stage (Appendix E). This change would be most notable at the Old River site, where a larger volume of tidally driven water passes the <u>gate structure</u>

during each tidal cycle.

5-8

Comment [PB12]: The BA

should quantify the breeding, feeding, sheltering, and migration habitat that is currently available to the delta smelt in the area between the gates and the pumps, using the current OCAP BP as the basis, not historic conditions as described in the BA. The BA should describe and quantify how each of these habitat parameters will change as a result of the 2-Gates project. This information will be valuable in assessing affects and in completing the BO.

RESPONSE: We can attempt to generally quantify the amount of aquatic habitat available between the gates and pumps but will not be able to complete before the 2nd Admin Draft of the BA is due on 8/19/09. Quantifying exactly the amount of breeding, feeding, sheltering and migration habitat may be problematic since data is not available describing the habitat characteristics existing within the reaches of Old River and Middle River affected by the 2-Gates project. Due to the pausity of data. we could assume that the entire reach between the gates and the pumps actually provides breeding, feeding, sheltering and migration habitat and quantify the anticipated effects relative the the amount of breeding, feeding, sheltering and migration habitat thought to be available throughout the Delta. The trick will be to quantify first the number of individuals actually affected by the potential loss of habitat, then extrapolate this to the relative proportion of the population, or populations, anticipated to be effected and then to the entire species as a whole.

... [1]

Comment [PB13]: See comment re population-level effects.

1 The Old River and Connection Slough sites are within the designated critical habitat for delta smelt, CV steelhead, and green sturgeon. Installation of

- 2 the gates would affect certain PCE's for these species. For delta smelt, this <u>may-would</u> affect the PCE for Physical Habitat including spawning
- 3 substrate. Delta smelt are thought to spawn on sandy substrates below intertidal elevations. Present habitat along the banks of both sites are habitat
- 4 along the banks of both sites is lined with riprap and the channel substrate is peat. Sand is encountered at around -32 feet beneath the peat. Based on
- 5 this information, no detla smelt spawning habitat is expected to occur within or near the physical footprint of the gate structure at either site. e. The
- 6 seale of any potential impact is discountable to low, however, given the relatively small footprint of the Project on the substrate. For CV steelhead
- juveniles, the quality of freshwater rearing habitat is affected by reduction in habitat complexity and food supply that would be provided by the benthic
- 8 environment, and by the potential for fish predators to have an attraction to the Project's structure and altered hydraulics. For illustrative purposes, the 9 area of channel bottom in Old River alone was estimated at roughly 1,200 acres². The gate structures and fill represent about less-than 0.156% of the
- channel bottom in Old River alone. For CV steelhead juveniles, the quality of freshwater rearing habitat is affected by habitat complexity, food supply,
- and presence of fish predators. The baseline condition of freshwater rearing habitat within Delta channels, however, is already degraded, and
- 12 installation of the Project would not measurably exacerbate this degradation. For green sturgeon juveniles and adults, attributes of tidal freshwater
- habitat that would be altered are principally benthic foraging habitat. However, as mentioned above, the overall amount of habitat altered is small
- 14 relative to what is available in the Delta, so the action is not likely to adversely affect the prey base for green sturgeon or juvenile salmon populations.

15 Migratory corridors for emigrating CV steelhead juveniles and for green sturgeon adults and juveniles through Old River and Connection Slough are

- 16 not expected to be substantially blocked by the gate structures. While the Project structures would reduce the cross-sectional area of Old River by
- 17 approximately 75%, the reduction in water flow (conveyance capacity) would only be approximately 17% near Connection Slough and 7% at
- 18 <u>Woodward Cut.</u> The barge deck and lock rock along the barge sides will be flush with the bottom, leaving a full-depth channel open through the gates.
- 19 Although green sturgeon are benthic foragers, telemetry studies of adults and subadults in San Pablo Bay documented sturgeon swimming closer to the
- 20 surface when moving directionally (Kelley et al. 2007).
- 21 Construction on the levees would disturb existing emergent or riparian vegetation and habitat resulting in reduced shoreline vegetation and any
- riparian function it may have in supporting juvenile Chinook that utilize the area. Reductions in functions may include loss of shading and stabilization
- of sediments and loss of insect prey items for juvenile Chinook (Toft et al. 2004). However, the existing riparian function is already degraded and very
- small in relation to what is available in the Delta. In conclusion, the Project construction would not have a significant effect on the physical habitat for
- the listed aquatic species.

26 5.4 OPERATIONS EFFECTS ON AQUATIC SPECIES

- 27 The gate structures and their operations will have several effects on listed aquatic species including changes to physical habitat, flow patterns, and
- 28 increased risk of predation. Changes to physical habitat conditions result from installation of rock, gate barges, sheet pile walls and boat ramps.
- 29 Structures change physical habitat conditions in a channel cross section that was primarily composed of open water channel with a soft sediment bed
- 30 and bordered with a shoreline of tule-fringed rip-rapped levees on either side. The otherwise open water habitat of the channel is occupied by steel

² Estimate for Old River based on a 17 mile long channel from Franks Tract to the Skinner Fish Facility, and 600 foot average channel width. The channel is 800 feet wide at the site of the Old River gate.

sheet pile walls that extend from the bed to above the surface creating vertical walls with little habitat value. Water velocities are low near the wall and slow eddies may develop in the backwater areas between the gate and levee. The barges will be set into the bed of each channel such that the top deck will be at most a couple of feet above the channel bed. This will minimize restrictions to channel cross sections and avoid any shoaling effect from the barges. The deck of the steel barge provides poor quality bottom habitat with limited complexity to support invertebrates. Piles and decks for the boat ramps create structure in the nearshore area and can also provide shade, but the use of the boat ramps would disturb fish using these areas. These areas may provide habitat for predators, both fish and birds. The interstices in the rocks used to lock the barge in place provide habitat elements along both bank slopes and barge ends. This can provide habitat for cravfish, catfish or other aquatic cavity dwellers.

8 Gate installation and operation will create structure within the channels and favorable conditions that are anticipated to attract predatory fish. In 9 addition, the gate structures will change the flow field in close proximity to the barges. The constrained channel cross section will change the mostly 10 laminar flow of these channels to areas of turbulent flow during large tidal changes when water accelerates from the high side of the structure through the gate to the low side. These higher velocity zones will create eddies and shear zones along their sides that predatory fish can use to feed on smaller 11 fish being swept along with the current. Predatory fish in the Delta (primarily striped bass, largemouth bass, sunfishes and catfish) are good at 12 exploiting situations where food is abundant or where features exist that enhance feeding opportunities, such as crevices or turbulent flows. For 13 example, large populations of striped bass occur inside Clifton Court Forebay and schools of striped bass are known to occur in the vicinity of the 14 release sites within the Delta used by the CVP and SWP salvage facilities. The gate assembly will include structures (sheet pile walls, barges, lock 15 rock, and boat ramps) that would provide interstitial spaces, topographic features or currents that can be used by predatory fish. 16

In Willamette River studies (Friesen 2005), described predator-habitat relationships and their effect on salmonid populations appeared minimal, even around structures and pilings. Although warm water predators readily associated with the rip-rap habitats the study suggests that juvenile salmonids were not a dominant prey. Functioning as slough type habitat as opposed to flow-through habitat during gate closures; however, may cause the fish to congregate and be more susceptible to predation.

Navigation requirements and operation of the boat ramps and gates on a 24 hours/7 days a week requirement means that safety lighting will be 21 22 installed at the gates. Flood lights will be needed to illuminate the gates, sheet pile walls and boat ramps. Lighting may attract fish into lighted areas. 23 Night predators such herons, other birds and raccoons may also take the opportunity to use the light sources as a means of gathering food. Predators that are attracted to the gate may result in the loss of individual delta smelt, salmon or CV steelhead. The overall effect of the gate structures is 24 25 anticipated to attract predatory fish and increase on predator populations in locally at the Old River and Connection Slough gate sites. This will 26 increase the level of exposure and risk to individuals of listed fish species at least locally near the gate structures and will likely vary depending on the species, life stage, timing (when they are in the vicinity of the gate structures), and theire duration of exposure (how long they spend in the vicinity of 27 the gate structures). It is expected that some individuals of any listed fish species which might occur within the vicinity of the gate structures will be 28 consumed, or mortally wounded, by predators and lost to the overall population and/or species. is not expected to result in population level effects to 29 these listed species. To assess the affects of predatory fish on listed fish species, the 2-Gates Project Monitoring Plan will implement and extensive 30 on--site Monitoring Program using a variety of techniques such as -employ-available video and fish finding technology to document the accumulation 31 of predatory fish at the gate structures and quantify their numbers (see Appendix C - Monitoring Pan). In addition, the Monitoring Program will 32 attempt provide a means to document the occurance and numbers of listed fish species at ort passing by the gate sites. In the event that predatory 33 populations become too large at the gate sites appropriate actions (i.e. electrofishing, gill netting, seining, and angling) will be taken to reduce their 34 35 numbers.

Comment [PB14]: See comment on population-level effects

Comment [PB15]: While acknowledging that the physical facilities and operations create conditions favorable for predators, the document concludes, with no supporting analysis or even rationale, that this increased predation will not result in population level effects on any listed species. At current low population levels this is not a reasonable assumption for delta smelt without more rigorous analysis. Particle tracking does not reflect predation mortality. The final BA should include a predation risk analysis where exposure of particles to a zone of influence for predators around the gates can be used to develop conclusions about the exposure risk of smelt to increased predation due to conditions created by both presence of the structures and gate operation (smelt that may be trapped behind the gate during flood tide closure). The analysis about the effects of the physical structures to aquatic species also does not address the likelihood or extent of turbidity changes that may arise in the area as a result of sheet pile installation, or the risk of creating favorable conditions for establishing new populations of nonnative aquatic plants and animals that do not currently exist in the area.

RESPONSE: Comment noted.

When the gates are closed, the channel ends next to the gate will function much like a dead end slough, and water quality conditions may slightly 1

degrade with lower dissolved oxygen, change in salinity and the accumulation of debris orn water hyacinth mats may build up. However, these 2

conditions would be transient and would dissipate upon gate opening. The degree to which these conditions may occur are likely closely linked to the 3

duration of gate closure (Table 5-1). The effects of Project operations on water quality in the December to March period would be small due to the 4

short periods of daily closure (up to approximately two hours per day) and cold water temperatures with limited solar input. The effects in March 5

would be somewhat larger due to the longer gate closure period and increasing air and water temperature as well as lengthening day light hours. 6

Project effects on water quality conditions would be greatest in June with the longer closure periods, greater solar input and higher temperatures. As 7

planned the gates will be closed up to two hours per day from December into March. During March the Old River gate will be closed on flood tides 8

9

(twice daily, for up to 10 hours total), and opened on ebb and slack tides. The Connection Slough gates will be closed except during slack tide (approximately 4 hours daily). From April 1 through May 31, both the Old River and Connection Slough gates will be fully open in coordination with 10

San Joaquin River inflow versus water export criteria established in the 2009 NMFS OCAP BO (see Action IV.2.1 at NMFS 2009). During June, both 11

gates will be closed on flood tides and opened on ebb and slack tides. Gates will be open on weekends for recreational boating. 12

Modeling indicates that Project operations will predominately affect hydrodynamics in the Old River, Connection Slough and Middle River Channels. 13

The following discussion of operational effects of the 2-Gates Project on listed fish species is based on hydrodynamic and delta smelt behavioral 14

simulations conducted for these analyses. Details of the hydrodynamic and delta smelt behavioral simulation efforts used were briefly summarized in 15

Section 5.2.1 and are provided in more detail in Appendix D-and E. Results from the modeling indicate a decrease in the entrainment of adult delta 16

smelt by the export facilities by controlling the distribution of turbidity that are correlated with migration of pre-spawning adults into the central and 17

18 south Delta. Results from the simulations also indicate a decrease in the turbidity and entrainment of larval and juvenile delta smelt over OCAP

required OMR flows by operation of the 2-Gates consistent with OCAP flows and management of lower San Joaquin River flows resulting in net flow 19 20 to the west from the central Delta.

5.4.1 Potential Effects to Delta Smelt 21

Based on results of hydrodynamic and behavioral modeling, the 2-Gates Project will benefit delta smelt by limiting pre-spawning adults from being 22 carried by negative flows bein drawn to the water export facilities moving south of the gates along with the waters containing key habitat components 23 24 (e.g. elevated turbidity), and thus reducing entrainment of adults and larvae from the export facilities. Because fish entering the south Delta are highly 25 vulnerable to entrainment at the CVP and SWP pumping facilities, and are subject to increased predation and poor habitat conditions, any-mechanism 26 which limits their movement into this area are is potentially beneficial. Reproductive success in the San Joaquin portion of the Delta is reduced 27 because many adults and most larvae are have been entrained and lost during transport to and from spawning sites to rearing areas (USFWS 2008). The adult delta smelt that don't move through the lower turbidity into the south Old and Middle River channels would spawn in areas of the central 28 Delta where suitable turbidity and salinity occur in association with substrates for spawning. These areas would be more distant from the influence of 29 the export pumps resulting in a likely increasae in survival for tprevented from entering the south Delta would need to find other areas to spawn, but 30 they and their progeny and they would less would be less at risk from vulnerable to entrainment, predation and the poor habitat conditions that occur in 31 south Delta channels .. 32

Comment [PB16]: "...improve water quality conditions ... " what conditions or constituents, specifically?

Comment [PB17]: More explanation of "flow management on the San Joaquin River" is needed.

Comment [PB18]: Excluding fish from historically occupied habitat, which they are currently seeking to use for spawning, feeding, cover, or migration is not typically considered a benefit to the species. The conclusion of a benefit to delta smelt should be restated to reflect a less positive conclusion or the arguments and data supporting the statement should be better articulated.

Comment [PB19]: How will the effects of the project be discernible from effects of the OCAP BO RPA, D-1641. etc?

Comment [PB20]:

Comment [PB21]: The effects analysis gives light treatment to the fate of fish spawing in the San Joaquin River and Mokelumne. One way that 2-Gates differs substantially from the OCAP RPAs is that it further reduces the likelihood of meaningful contribution of spawning in these areas to the delta smelt population, whereas the OCAP RPAs were specifically designed to encourage conditions that would increase the likelihood and frequency of successful spawning in these areas. Long term implementation of 2-Gates would have significant population and recovery implications, by restricting effective habitat to the Sacramento River portion of the population, in contrast to OCAP. The document says that "adult delta smelt prevented from entering the centra . [2]

Comment [A22]: GENERAL COMMENT? For a species whose conservation status continues to diminish. such as the delta smelt, the argument that they would need to find other areas to spawn, in order to derive a benefit [3] 1 The following sections discuss the Project effects in further detail by life history stages and critical habitat PCEs. During the December to June gate

2 operation period, all life stages of delta smelt may be present in the vicinity of the Project facilities. Adults would predominate in December into

3 March, and other life stages would increase in abundance from March through June. Most adults die after spawning, so their numbers would tend to

4 decrease after the peak of spawning (usually by April or May). Juveniles would increase in abundance through June. Historically, salvage densities for

5 juvenile delta smelt have been highest during May and June. In wet years spawning and migration tend to occur further west in the Delta than in dry

6 years when delta smelt migrate further up the rivers to access freshwater spawning habitat. This pattern implies that direct and indirect effects and

7 operations may be greater in dry years than in wet years.

8 5.4.1.1 Life History Stages

9 MIGRATING AND SPAWNING ADULTS (~DECEMBER THROUGH MARCH)

Adult smelt begin moving inland from the western Delta when first flush flows increase turbidity (greater than or equal to 12 NTUs) and decrease 10 salinity. When the higher turbidity in the west or central Delta bridges the gap through Old and Middle rivers, this links with the high turbidity waters 11 in close proximity to the pumps. Once there is no longer an region of low turbidity bridge occurs in the Old and Middle Rivers, adult delta smelt tend 12 to move more easily into the south Delta. Recent estimates of annual entrainment have ranged from 10 to 60 percent of the delta smelt population 13 (adults and progeny combined) per year from 2002 to 2006 (Kimmerer 2008). Since most adult entrainment occurs between mid-December and 14 March, the gates will be operated during this period (as described in Appendix B) to modulate flows in Old and Middle Rivers and thus manage 15 distribution of higher turbidity conditions that cue adult pre-spawning migration from extending into the south Delta. The results from RMA's delta 16 smelt behavioral simulations indicate that installation and operation of the 2-Gates Project could manage water quality to keep adults far enough north 17 18 to avoid becoming entrained by the CVP/SWP pumping facilities. Figure 5-24 shows the simulated distribution of adult delta smelt for historic 19 conditions and for different operational scenarios modeled from hydrodynamic conditions leading up to January 16, 2003. Under historic operations 20 (Figure 5-1, upper left frame), delta smelt are distributed throughout the south Delta as well as other channels and exposed to export at the export 21 facilities. The Lower Bound OCAP operations (Figure 5-2+, lower left frame) also show delta smelt dispersing into the south Delta channels but not as extensively as under historic conditions. Simulations of OCAP Lower Bounds with 2-Gates reveal that delta smelt distribution extends only to about 22 23 Woodward Canal (Figure 5-24, upper right frame). Thus the Project would limit the distribution of adult delta smelt from extending further south in Old and Middle Rivers toward the south Delta channels and the CVP/SWP pumps, thereby where the risk of entrainment is much higher. 24

25

Comment [PB23]: Not clear on what will be used as triggers for operating the gates. Will gate operations be triggered with 12C, or with spawning, or other? The wording here is unclear

Comment [PB24]:





Figure 5-24. Adult Delta Smelt Particle Distributions for historical conditions (HIST), OCAP operations (OCAP-LB), and 2-Gates scenario (2GATE_LB-OPNCLS1). The difference between OCAP and OCAP with 2-GATE is the comparison of lower left with upper right figures.

4 LARVAL AND JUVENILE DELTA SMELT TRANSPORT (~MARCH THROUGH JUNE)

5 Delta smelt spawning typically commences once Delta-wide average water temperatures reach 12°C, which occurs sometime within February or 6 March. Once this occurs, gates will be operated from balancing flows on Old and Middle rivers to create dispersive mixing in the central Delta ito

7 protect larval and juvenile delta smelt from entrainment into the south Delta. In March and in June, the Old River gate will be operated tidally: open on

8 ebb tides and closed on flood tides. The Connection Slough gate will be closed, except when opened during slack tide (an hour per opening, foour

9 times per 25 hour tidal cycle) or for recreational boating on weekends in June. Gate operations will occur in combination with OCAP flows. During

10 April and May gates will be open during the San Joaquin River inflow/export flow period.



Comment [PB28]: Not clear on what will be used as triggers for operating the gates. Will gate operations be triggered with 12C, or with spawning, or other? The wording here is unclear

Comment [PB29]: Says

Connection Slough gate would be closed for all but 4 hours per day. This is not consistent with the presentation given by Entrix on 7-27-09. 1 The Project is expected to benefit delta smelt by reduceing entrainment of delta smelt adults, larvae and juveniles. As discussed above, operations will

- 2 affect the distribution of turbidity and salinity, which would result in redistribution of pre-spawning adult delta smelt in the inner Delta and
- consequently would change the distribution of larval and juvenile delta smelt. The gate operations will influence habitat conditions by affecting
 hydrodynamics in the Central Delta.

Model runs indicate that operations of the 2-Gates Project combined with OCAP OMR flows result in better reduction in the entrainment of delta smelt than OCAP OMR flows alone (Figure 5-1). <u>RMA's-The</u> behavioral simulation shows a net decrease in the entrainment of larval/juvenile delta smelt when the Project is operated and OMR flows are balanced. Figure 5-<u>3</u>² compares modeled entrainment rates at the SWP and CVP facilities under various scenarios (historic, upper and lower bound OMR flows, and Project operations with upper and lower bound OMR flows).

9 Project operations <u>in March and June</u> create a dispersive mixing and that tidally pumps water south up Middle River and north up Old River, while not

- 10 changing net flows in the Old and Middle River channels. This operation effectively places would move water and -more assoictied -larvala and
- 11 juvenile delta smelt particles in the area offrom the south Delta, through Frank's Tract where they are then exposed to tidal action and the central Delta

12 pumped out of the Delta through False River into the lower San Joaquin River and Suisun Bay. Once proven, this operation will This effectively

reduces the number of larval and juvenile fish being drawn into the south Delta. The gates will remain open during April and May when the San

14 Joaquin River inflow/export ratio <u>(from the NMFS OCAP BO)</u> is in effect.

15 JUVENILE REARING AND ADULT DEVELOPMENT (~JULY THROUGH DECEMBER)

16 Delta smelt move toward the western Delta and into Suisun Bay during later spring/early summer and are generally absent from the Delta during the

17 warm summer months. They remain in the western Delta and Suisun Bay until early winter when they begin moving back inland as adults. The Old

18 River and Connection Slough Gates will not be operated from July to December when smelt are generally absent from the Delta. No adverse effects

19 are anticipated during the juvenile rearing and adult development period.

Comment [PB30]: The statement that "The Project would enhance the survival of larval and juvenile delta smelt" is unfounded and is not supported by the BA. The project is only intended to reduce entrainment. Because habitat conditions are very poor down-river of the project, only reduction of entrainment can be stated in the positive, not survival of individuals.

Comment [PB31]: The BA does not adequately reflect the adverse effects that Frank's Tract is likely to have on delta smelt that may be forced to spawn there. There is no differentiation between the higher-quality, summer habitat west of Frank's Tract and the low quality/high predator habitat that spawning delta smelt and outnigrating juveniles would be exposed to at Frank's Tract. The BA would benefit from a better description of available habitat down river of the project and of how delta smelt excluded from the project will be able to persist in the remaining habitat.

1



Not for Public Distribution • For Internal Review Only

Figure 5-32. Percent of Particles Entrained at CVP+SWP). by Region during the Modeled Period under different scenarios (historic conditions, OCAP Upper Bound, OCAP Lower Bound, 2-Gates Operations with OCAP Upper Bound, 2-Gates Operations with OCAP Lower Bound).

5.4.1.2 Effects on Critical Habitat

2 The Project will enhance overall affect designated critical habitat for delta smelt. The Primary Constituent Elements (PCEs) include physical habitat 3 (PCE#1), water (PCE#2), river flow (PCE#3) and salinity (PCE#4) and are discussed here for all life history stages. Adequate flow (PCE#3) and 4 suitable water conditions (PCE#2) may need to be maintained to attract migrating adults in the Sacramento and San Joaquin River channels and their 5 tributaries. Use of south Delta habitat would be reduced by the Project operations. While the south Delta is encompassed within the designated critical 6 habitat, the condition of several PCEs (#2 water and #3 flow) have been degraded by SWP/CVP operations that have altered river flows and increased 7 entrainment risk (USFWS 2008). Under current conditions, a significant proportion of progeny produced in the south Delta are probably entrained at the pumping facilities, While this area may have historically been used for spawning, it is believed that the south Delta is not currently an important 8 source for production of delta smelt. Shifting spawning activity away from the south Delta to other areas where the progeny are more likely to survive 9 would reduce the negative effects and could benefit the species. Adult smelt would still be able to access the lower San Joaquin River and other areas 10 of the central and northern Delta by migrating up the main stem of the San Joaquin River. 11 12 The Project has a minor effect on physical habitat (PCE#1) by the placement of the gate structures in Old River and Connection Slough. About 1.6Up 13 to 2.5 acres of habitat is changed by the dredging, barge placement, lock rock, sheet pile wall installation and boat ramps, but delta smelt are open 14 water species and are not known to frequent shoreline areas or channel beds except during spawning. Most of the habitat changes to the physical 15 habitat occur at the bed of the channel or along the shoreline so would have minimal effect on delta smelt. The 1-62.5 acres at the two project sites make up a very small percentage of the entire channel area used by Delta smelt. The change to the physical habitat PCE is inconsequential given the 16 small footprint of the Project structures on physical habitat available in the Delta. 17 PCE #2 is water of suitable quality, including temperature, turbidity, and food, for all life stages of delta smelt. The condition of PCE #2 has been 18 substantially reduced (USFWS 2008). The current Delta has little of its historic intertidal marsh lands and many of its historic sloughs and channels 19 have been cut off or altered. The pattern and quantity of inflow and outflow has been highly altered by upstream storage and diversions from the Delta. 20 The 2-Gates project would reduce the amount of water drafted through Old River from Franks Tract. Water not drafted from the western Delta would 21 be drawn from Middle River, Turner and Columbia Cuts and Old River upstream of the pumps. This would potentially benefit affect larval and 22 23 juvenile delta smelt in the western Delta by reducing their movement into south Delta channels and subsequent loss via export facilities, however, this 24 and could increase entrainment risk for juveniles in from the eastern Delta. PCE # 3 is river flow and is defined as transport flow to facilitate delta smelt spawning migrations and transport of larvae and juveniles to rearing 25 habitats (USFWS 2008). River flow is an important constituent of delta smelt critical habitat because it provides connectivity between migratory 26 pathways and the flow of energy, materials and organisms among different regions of the Delta which provide different habitat conditions needed for 27 different life stages of delta smelt. The 2-Gates Project is expected to alter flow patterns within the central Delta (particularly Old River downstream of 28 29 the gates pumps and Middle River) as well as increasing flow velocities at times during the tidal cycle in Old River and Connection Slough near the gate sites as a function of channel narrowing. However, this is not expected to diminish the conservation value of delta smelt critical habitat within the 30

31 Delta because sufficient flow will still be maintained through all Delta channels, including Old River and Connection Slough, to sustain connectivity

- 32 between migratory pathways within the delta and to provide for the flow of energy, materials and organisms among the different regions of the Delta
- 33 important to delta smelt.

Comment [PB32]: The

statement that "The Project will enhance overall designated critical habitat for delta smelt." is not supported by the analysis. The BA to some extent overlooks the fact that turbidity is a component of critical habitat. Since the stated goal of the project is to limit the spread of the turbidity plume in the Delta, if the project is successful then it will affect critical habitat over a wide area.

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [PB33]: Modeling results show increased entrainment of particles from San Joaquin, Mokelumne, and Sacramento Rivers – compared to "historic" conditions. There are many places where entrainment risk is increased – so how are these really comparable to protection achieved under the OCAP opinion as stated on page 5-

Comment [PB34]: These lines say "While this area may have historically been used for spawning, it is believed that the south Delta is not currently an important source for production of delta smelt." The RPA in the 2008 OCAP BO is intended to address this prob

Comment [A36]: The discussion of potential effects to PCE #2 should be updated to recognize that PCE #2 includes turbidity. PCE #2 is water of suitable quality for delta smelt, including temperature, turbidity, and food. The loss of turbid

- 1 The discussion of potential effects to PCE #2 should be updated to recognize that PCE #2 includes turbidity. PCE #2 is water of suitable quality for
- 2 delta smelt, including temperature, turbidity, and food. The loss of turbidity from this area of critical habitat would constitute a loss of PCE #2 from
- 3 the area between the gates and the pumps. The loss of a primary constituent element, which includes turbidity as a component, should not be
- 4 considered a benefit to the species. The BA should clearly quantify the loss of PCE #2 between the gates and the pumps and identify all areas where
- 5 <u>PCE #2 would be enhanced as a result of the project.</u>

6 <u>PCE #3</u>

7 <u>Need to address potential effects of the project on PCE #3, flow, in this section. If the project is successful it will alter flow and thus alter critical</u>

- 8 habitat. The 2008 OCAP BO (pg 192) says of the conservation role of critical habitat that it must provide "sufficient connectivity to provide migratory
- 9 pathways and the flow of energy, materials and organisms among the habitat components." Changes in flow velocity through Old River and
- 10 Connection Slough will likely occur as a function of channel narrowing. Mildred Island is a net source of productivity to the Delta; what would be the
- 11 impact to Delta productivity of placing a barrier in Connection Slough? Franks Tract is a net sink for productivity and a haven for predators; if
- 12 <u>successful, the project would tend to concentrate delta smelt in that area.</u>

In conclusion, the Project would have a net beneficial effect on designated critical habitat for delta smelt. Operations would enhance the condition of critical habitat by reducing entrainment risk in the south Delta (PCEs #2 and #3) and would not significantly degrade the condition of physical habitat (PCE #1).

16 Determination of effects

17 5.4.2 Effects to Chinook Salmon and Steelhead

18 5.4.2.1 Potential Effects by Life History Stages

19 Winter-run and spring-run Chinook and CV steelhead occur in the Delta during their adult and juvenile migratory life history stages. Some extended

20 rearing may also occur in the Delta during juvenile emigration. Potential effects for the different salmon runs and CV steelhead depend on the timing

- and the river systems they use. Runs that have peak migratory or rearing life history stages in the Delta during the construction and operation periods
- of the 2-Gates Project would have a higher potential to be affected by the project. Winter-run and spring-run Chinook and CV steelhead runs that
- 23 access the Sacramento River and tributaries are less affected by the project compared to fall-run Chinook or CV steelhead runs using the San Joaquin 24 or Mokelumne River systems.

25 The Biological Characteristics, Status of the Species and Critical Habitat (as applicable) for Winter-run and spring-run Chinook and CV steelhead are

- 26 presented in Section 3. This analysis presents the effects common to all salmonids, followed by a description of unique attributes for individual runs
- 27 based on the species, run timing or home river system. There is more information available for Chinook salmon than CV steelhead, but CV steelhead
- are expected to have similar behavioral responses once differences in run timing and distribution are accounted for.

August 19, 2009

Comment [PB37]: The scale of impact to Delta Smelt Critical Habitat is not discountable nor necessarily beneficial, because the BA fails to take into account the loss of access to critical habitat between the gates and the pumps. In particular, reductions in Primary Constituent Elements #1 and #2, from lack of access and change in occurrence, need to be quantified. See environmental baseline in OCAP, and compare change in PCEs from 2-Gates to OCAP baseline.

Comment [PB38]: The statement that "The Project would enhance the survival of larval and juvenile delta smelt" is unfounded and is not supported by the BA. The project is only intended to reduce entrainment. Because habitat conditions are very poor down-river of the project, only reduction of entrainment can be stated in the positive, not survival of individuals.

Comment [PB39]: Loss of access to designated Critical Habitat should not be considered enhancement of habitat.

Comment [PB40]: IS SOMETHING SUPPOSED TO BE ADDED HERE?

A clear determination of effect is needed for each listed species. This determination should address effects of the project on the species and their critical habitat. A table summarizing this information would help clarify the determinations made in the BA.

1 EMIGRATION OF JUVENILE SALMON AND STEELHEAD THROUGH THE DELTA

2 Juvenile winter-run Chinook salmon generally occur in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta from December through April with a peak from February

- 3 through April. Occurrence within the Delta may extend from October into June. The emigration period for spring-run Chinook salmon extends from
- 4 November to early May. Juvenile spring-run Chinook salmon numbers are reported to peak in December and March and April in the lower
- 5 Sacramento River and Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. Historical Central Valley steelhead salvage data from the State Water Project and Central
- 6 Valley Project provide salvage data indicate a high relative abundance of steelhead juveniles from February through May, moderate abundance in June
- and October January, and minimal to no abundance from July September. In summary most salmonid outmigration occurs from early winter
- 8 through spring (October through May) and perhaps into June.
- 9 Project operations from December through June would likely reduce entrainment of juvenile salmon and steelhead moving though the Delta. Gate
- 10 operations during March and June would also provide improved flow and salinity cues for salmon and steelhead migrating toward the ocean.
- 11 Operations have the gates operated tidally (closed on flood and open on ebb tides) during March and June. During this time the gates will be closed on
- 12 flood tides (up to 10 hours total daily) and opened on ebb and slack tides. The gates will be fully open from April 1 through May 31 each year during
- 13 the San Joaquin River inflow/export ratio period under criteria established in the 2009 NMFS OCAP BO (see Action IV.2.1 at NMFS 2009). In its
- opinion, NMFS rationalizes that because studies indicate that higher spring San Joaquin River inflow relative to export pumping results in higher
- 15 survival of outmigrating Chinook salmon, the same would hold true for outmigrating San Joaquin Basin and Calaveras River steelhead (NMFS 2009).
- 16 In addition, they concluded that the San Joaquin River inflow versus export pumping relationship would also benefit Sacramento River salmon and
- 17 steelhead which have been diverted into the interior Delta by increasing net Delta outflow. In any case, the 2 Gates project will maintain its gates in the
- 18 fully open position during the San Joaquin River inflow/export ratio period of April 1 through May 31 each year to facilitate salmonid migration
- through the Delta by maintaining unobstructed migratory pathways through Old River and Connection Slough. Limiting negative flows in Old and
- Middle River to keep delta smelt north or west of the gate would also provide improved flow or salinity cues for salmon and steelhead migrating toward the ocean.
- 22 Juvenile steelhead emigrating from the Mokelumne and San Joaquin Rivers take migration paths that would be different from Sacramento River fish.
- 23 Mokelumne River steelhead would migrate along the same route used by some Sacramento River steelhead or salmon that entered the central Delta via
- the DCC gates or Georgiana Slough. Operation of the 2-Gates Project includes an element to mitigate for entrainment of fish from those stations in the
- central Delta that are located around or upstream of the confluence of the Mokelumne River (Figure 5-2).
- 26 San Joaquin River steelhead could move through the Delta using several routes including moving into Old River downstream of Mossdale. Migration 27 routes most likely take fish down the Grantline Canal before re-entering Old River near the intakes to the CVP and SWP. Project operations have been 28 shown to control the distribution of juvenile delta smelt to keep them out of the south Delta. Therefore, operations would also reduce entrainment of 29 juvenile salmon and steelhead into the pumping facilities by keeping them away from the south Delta. Operations to protect larval and juvenile smelt 30 creates dispersive mixing within the central Delta changing negative flows in both Old and Middle River channels to negative in Middle River and positive (downstream) in Old River. This would tend to reduce entrainment risk for juvenile salmon and steelhead that are passing through the central 31 32 Delta. The particle tracking model suggests that salmon or steelhead migrating down Old River and the Grantline Canal would be no worse off under this condition, whereas particles released in the San Joaquin River and the central Delta show a marked reduction in entrainment with the 2-Gates 33 34 project compared to OMR flows alone (Figure 5-2). Mokelumne River fish, however show slightly higher entrainment compared to OMR flows alone 35 (Figure 5-2).

Comment [PB41]: "...improve water quality conditions..." what conditions or constituents, specifically?

1 MIGRATION OF ADULT SALMON AND STEELHEAD THROUGH THE DELTA

2 Adult immigration of winter-run Sacramento River Chinook salmon through the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta generally occurs from December

through June with a peak in March, while the immigration of spring-run salmon occurs from March through September with a peak in May and June.
 Adult immigration of steelhead through the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta generally occurs from September through May with the peak in December

4 Adult immigration of steelhead through the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta generally occurs from September through May with the peak in December 5 through February. Unlike other species of salmon, steelhead do not necessarily die after spawning and downstream moving post-spawn adult steelhead

6 (kelts) move down through the Delta from January through May.

Construction of the project will occur outside of the winter-run migration period and at the extreme end of the spring-run migration period, and
 beginning of the steelhead run. Because of the location in the central Delta, winter and spring-run adults are highly unlikely to pass the gate sites
 during the construction period. It is also unlikely that Sacramento basin steelhead will pass the gates sites during the early period of their upstream

10 migration, however adult steelhead may be making their way toward the Mokelumne or San Joaquin river systems and could pass the gate sites.

11 The Project would have limited effect on adult migrating salmonids since Sacramento River upstream migrating adults would not be expected to pass

12 the project site on their way upstream. Downstream migration steelhead kelts could be exposed to Project operations, and could become disoriented in

13 channels conveying water toward the pumping facilities as they seek a route to the ocean. However, Project operations should reduce strong negative

14 flows from Old River and balance negative flows in Middle River, and therefore should reduce the risk of entrainment of kelts into the Middle and Old

15 River channels.

16 5.4.2.2 Potential Effects on Salmon and Steelhead Designated Critical Habitat

17 The 2-Gates Project would affect designated critical habitat for CV steelhead in the Action Area (there is no designated critical habitat present for winter- or spring-run Chinook). CV steelhead designated critical habitat in the Delta region as a whole will not be adversely modified as a result of the 18 19 2-Gates Project. Part of the intrinsic values of the PCE's listed for CV Steelhead critical habitat in the Delta is unobstructed passage of emigrating fish through the region, with conditions free of obstacles or risks (i.e. entrainment, predation). This characteristic of the PCE's will be modified locally 20 within Old River and Connection Slough by construction and operation of the 2-Gates structures since passage there would be intermittently 21 22 obstructed during tidal operations. Hydrodynamic conditions created by Project operations may create conditions conducive to predators. Upstream 23 passage for adults migrating through the Old River and Connection Slough channels to habitats on the San Joaquin River system may be partially 24 obstructed during winter operations. Gates would be closed mostly during flood tide periods, but passage would occur during the ebb tide. Migrating 25 adults would be able to pass the gates and proceed with their upstream migration. If gates are closed the fish may be delayed for up to 6 hours. Investigations on adult migration delays have occurred in large river and are often associated with navigation through reservoirs. Effects can be related 26 27 to egg viability, or redd quality but since the operations provide periodic opening for upstream passage, delayed migration for adult CV steelhead 28 migrating through other interior Delta channels, will not be adversely affected by the 2-Gates Project.

The effect of gate operations on flows that can affect downstream passage by juveniles would be negligible. As with adults, this PCE (unobstructed passage) would only be modified locally, within Old River and Connection Slough at the sites of the gate structures. Since the gates will be closed

intermittently, mostly during the flood tide, dominate flow upstream of the gates in Middle River would be toward the pumps, whereas flows in Old

32 River would be variable - north of Railroad Cut it would be slack during gate closure or ebb during gate opening, whereas south of railroad cut,

August 19, 2009

Comment [PB42]: With regard to the discussion about how the FWS RPA OMR flow restrictions "delay" the turbidity plume - what export rates form the baseline for these scenarios? Do the flow restrictions really delay the turbidity plume, (is that based on empirical data?) or as fish follow the turbidity plume into the central and south delta, do the flow restrictions just minimize the entrainment risk when the plume spreads (and leads fish) into the entrainment zone of influence? It seems that the 2-Gates scenarios have built in as baseline the high export conditions, and those in turn have been used to represent some sort of baseline condition. The FWS RPAs also are protective of San Joaquin spawners the BA needs to compare the effectiveness of the FWS RPAs for spawning in all portions of the delta, compare that to the FWS RPAs, and integrate that into a population level effect. It seems like this discussion somewhat misrepresents the FWS RPA. The objective of the RPA is to reduce entrainment risk by manipulating the area of influence of the entrainment footprint, not by manipulating turbidity. To the extent it does this, it is only incidental. Under the FWS RPAs and reduced OMR flows turbidity is often above 15 ntu, which means that we would consider it suitable delta smelt habitat and that smelt could be present but not salvaged due to reduced flows. The current BA analysis attributes the reduced salvage to reduced turbidity, and shows how 2-Gate operation reduces turbidity more than the RPA, but it is in fact an entirely different relationship and a significant difference between OMR habitat conditions under the RPA and 2-Gates.

Baseline – export rates that "delay" turbidity plume

What drives entrainment?

Did our baseline assume high exports?

[7]

negative flow would dominate the channel. Juveniles passing the intakes for the CVP and SWP presently face negative flows in these channels.

2 Operation of the 2-Gates would enhance downstream migration conditions in Old River between Woodward Canal and Railroad Cut by reducing

3 negative flow. Negative flows would be markedly improved in Old River north of railroad cut compared to existing conditions, or conditions with only

4 the OCAP BO restrictions. Juveniles that encounter closed gates during the ebb tide cycle could be delayed for up to about 6 hours and could be

5 exposed to predation during that time. Downstream passage of juvenile CV steelhead migrating through other interior Delta channels will not be

6 adversely affected by the 2-Gates Project.

7 The gate structures would affect the passage PCE by obstructing and increasing predation risk; however, this effect would localized and would not

8 adversely affect overall critical habitat in the Delta. The gate structures would attract predatory fish and the increased velocity of flows passing

9 through the narrow gates may disorient individual CV steelhead in the immediate vicinity and provide shear zones and turbulent eddies during certain

tidal stages that would attract predators. Predation risk would be a concern for juvenile steelhead but not for adults migrating through the sites of the

gate structures. Although there would be local adverse modification of the critical habitat PCE of unobstructed passage for CV steelhead juveniles, designated critical habitat in other interior Delta channels will not be adversely modified as a result of the 2- Gates Project. Improved flows for adult

and juvenile CV steelhead migration will occur in other interior Delta channels as a result of 2-Gates Project installation and operations. Therefore, the

overall conservation value of these structures would be to improve critical habitat characteristics throughout the area of designated critical habitat. –.

The net effect would be neutral or beneficial. Outmigration success of juvenile CV steelhead approaching the gates from the north and east would be

improved since they would encounter less negative flows and be more likely to follow outflow patterns through the Middle River, reducing

17 entrainment of steelhead from the Sacramento and Mokelumne river systems at the CVP and SWP facilities.

18 Freshwater rearing habitat, another PCE of the Delta, is currently in poor condition, with leveed and rip-rapped channels that have low habitat

19 complexity and low abundance of food organisms, and offer little protection from predation. Project operations would temporarily alter tidal

20 inundation patterns that could affect tidal shallow water habitat, but this change is minimized by the periodic, not permanent, gate closure. Physical

21 condition of freshwater rearing habitat would be affected in a local area near the gates, but the Project would not adversely affect freshwater rearing

habitat in the Delta as a whole, The net effect of the Project on the function of CV steelhead critical habitat within the Delta would be neutral or

slightly beneficial by reducing the risk of entrainment for the majority of the CV Steelhead population, which emigrates from the Sacramento River basin.

25 X

26 5.4.3 Potential Effects on Southern DPS of North American Green Sturgeon

27 5.4.3.1 Potential Effects on Life History Stages

28 The widely recognized paucity of green sturgeon data makes it difficult to analyze project effects on individuals, the population and species

29 (CALFED Science Review Panel 2009). Although considerable research progress has been made in recent years, information gaps remain.

30 Behavior, movements, and habitat needs of early life stages are poorly understood. Data is lacking on the spatial and temporal distribution of

Comment [PB43]: A clear determination of effect is needed for each listed species. This determination should address effects of the project on the species and their critical habitat. A table summarizing this information would help clarify the determinations made in the BA. juveniles in the Delta. In this situation, a qualitative analysis is the best available tool for evaluating effects on green sturgeon (CALFED
 Science Review Panel 2009).

Green sturgeon adults pass through the north Delta as they migrate from the ocean to the Sacramento basin in spring and outmigrate in early summer. Most movement by adults occurs in deeper channels. Juveniles occur in the Delta year-round, rearing one to three years before migrating to the ocean. Juveniles are more likely to use the shallow habitats for feeding and predator refuge (NMFS 2009). Juveniles are recovered at the fish facilities in all months, with higher levels of salvage during July and August (DFG 2002 cited in NMFS 2009) when the Project gates will not be operated.

7 The majority of juveniles salvaged or captured in DFG trawling studies were 200-500 mm (DFG 2002 cited in NMFS 2008a), indicating they were

two to three years of age based on Klamath River age distribution studies (Nakamoto, R.J., T.T. Kisanuki, and G.H. Goldsmith 1995). Predation on juvenile green sturgeon near the gates is expected to be minimal, given their size and protective scutes (NMFS 2009).

Periodic closure of the gates could affect movements of juveniles and adults within the Delta, but the effect would be transitory. During December to early March, both gates would be closed about 1-2 hours daily. In late March and in June, the Old River gate would be closed twice daily on flood

tides totaling up to 10 hours, and Connection Slough gate would be closed about 20 hours except on slack tides. Other Delta channels would remain

available for movement. The project site is not along the adult migratory corridor and therefore the project would not impede passage to spawning

habitat in the Sacramento basin. Alteration of the bottom substrate is not expected to affect directional movements of sturgeon. Telemetry studies of

adults and subadults in San Pablo Bay documented green sturgeon on the bottom moving nondirectionally and presumably foraging, but swimming

16 closer to the surface when moving directionally (Kelley et al. 2007). Flow velocities through the gates would be greater when the gates are initially

17 opened because the channel will be narrower than under baseline conditions. These flows are not expected to prevent sturgeon movements because

18 even juveniles are relatively large and strong swimmers.

19 Green sturgeon are tolerant of a wide range of environmental conditions experienced in the estuary (Kelley et al. 2007), so operational effects on water 20 quality conditions are not expected to adversely affect this species.

21 5.4.3.2 Potential Effects on Southern DPS Green Sturgeon Proposed Critical Habitat

The Action Area encompasses part of the proposed critical habitat for green sturgeon, namely freshwater riverine systems. Specific PCE's within the Delta are food resources, principally benthic invertebrates and fish, migratory corridor through the Delta and lower Sacramento River for adults and

ijuveniles, and uncontaminated sediments. As discussed earlier (Construction Effects Section 5.2.3.4), installation of the gate structures will alter a

small area of soft benthic habitat (approximately 1.6 acres, less than 0.15% of channel bottom in Old River alone), but the effect on food resources and

sediment quality would be localized and would not impair the overall function of proposed critical habitat within the Delta. Project operations would

27 not impair benthic habitat condition. Gate operations would not impede upstream migration of adults, because the two sites are not along the corridor

from the ocean to spawning habitat in the upper Sacramento River. Periodic closure of the gates would have a transitory effect on movement corridors

29 for juveniles residing within Old River and Connection Slough but would not affect passage through other interior Delta channels. The operations

30 effects would not impair the condition of freshwater riverine habitat currently available in the Delta. x

Comment [PB44]: "...improve water quality conditions..." what conditions or constituents, specifically?

Comment [PB45]: A clear determination of effect is needed for each listed species. This determination should address effects of the project on the species and their critical habitat. A table summarizing this information would help clarify the determinations made in the BA.

5.5 MONITORING THE EFFECTS OF PROJECT RESPONSE MONITORING ON AQUATIC SPECIES 1

Increased sampling periods and intensity of delta smelt larvae and juveniles, additional tagging and observational studies requiring handling of fish 2

and disturbance of habitats, may affect individual organisms in various ways. However, the kin general, knowledge gained from more precise real-3

time reliant decision making support data (water quality, hydrodynamics, and species presence data) will have a greater benefit to populations affected 4

5 by entrainment or migration than the incidental take incurred during monitoring provide Delta managers with a better understanding of Delta processes. In general, supplemental efforts toward existing studies that includes fish handling and tagging will be considered under the Incide

6

Take Permit those programs are operating under. It is estimated that monitoring through T the duration of the 2-Gates Project (5 years) will handled an 7

additional 25 to 250 individual invenile salmonids annually over existing monitoring programs, estimated number of additional invenile salmonids that 8

may be handled on any year during the course of the studies is between 25 and 250 individuals. These would be are primarily for radio-tagging studies 9

near the gates. in which the mortality from tagging and handling is so low as to be discountable. 10

Water Quality and Flow Monitoring 5.5.1 11

Water quality and flow monitoring are expected to use existing station and networks using passive devices (grab sampling, deployed meters, etc.) and 12

have no long-term effect on aquatic species. Maintenance of in-stream devices could create temporary disturbance from foot traffic or boat traffic 13

14 where fish may leave the area.

5.5.2 15 **Fish Monitoring**

Seven fundamental fish monitoring programs operate within the Delta and include: Fall midwater trawl, Summer townet survey, spring Kodiak trawl, 16

20mm post-larval and juvenile delta smelt survey, the Mossdale Kodiak trawl survey, the Longfin smelt survey, and fish salvage monitoring. These 17

programs have been evaluated for their potential effects and have been permitted for sampling, handling and take under various Delta evaluation 18

19 programs.

5.5.3 Additional Monitoring or Enhancement of Existing Programs 20

Water Quality 5.5.3.1 21

- The project's water quality monitoring includes initial deployment and weekly maintenance by technicians. The action includes a boat trip, and 22
- 23 retrieval and redeployment of instruments. Technicians will use established boat access areas or hand carry smaller boats down the bank. These actions

may temporarily disperse fish associating with the area but overall should have little to no effect on aquatic species. 24

Comment [PB46]: See

comment The statement that the knowledge gained from monitoring will have a greater benefit to populations affected by entrainment or migration than the incidental take occurring during monitoring is not supported by the analysis.

Comment [PB47]: Additional monitoring proposed by the project should be reasonably foreseeable to occur. It appears unlikely that this is the case, as CDFG has cut back their monitoring programs due to budget cuts in recent years

Comment [A48]: Can we provide an estimate of mortality due to this handling and tagging?

Comment [PB49]: Additional monitoring proposed by the project should be reasonably foreseeable to occur. It appears unlikely that this is the case, as CDFG has cut back their monitoring programs due to budget cuts in recent years.

1 5.5.3.2 Fish Monitoring

Spring Kodiak Trawl (SKT) The SKT time period will be expanded into December and sampling frequency at sites near the project area will
 increase to once/week. The intent of the extension is to achieve an earlier indication of entrainment risk when the gates are in operation. This will be at
 earlier period of the delta smelt spawning migrations, therefore lower abundance is expected form sample captures. The benefit of an earlier sample
 period to inform gate operation should compensate in overall greater survival of smelt than not having an extended sample period.

6 20mm Juvenile Smelt Survey The 20mm survey will increase in frequency from bi-weekly to weekly. Average mortalities will presumably be 7 doubled at eight sample sites within the Project's area of influence.

Stationary Trawl to Validate Adult Delta Smelt Migration Behavior To support the turbidity/migration hypotheses underlying the 2-Gates operations, a special trawl study will be conducted at two key points (Sacramento River near Decker Island and San Joaquin River at Jersey Point) triggered by the first major rain event of winter. To detect adult smelt movement into the delta during this period up to 6 trawls will occur at each site (about every two hours, through a 12 hour tidal cycle.) Most smelt captured in trawls die. The catch however is highly variable from trawl to trawl.

A trawl-cam is in development that will be ready for testing this spring. The trawl-cam <u>would</u> replaces the closed cod end of the net with a camera system capable of identifying, measuring and counting the catch. The catch is then passed out the end of net unharmed. Software associated with the cameras are able to identify <u>most</u> species and sizes in turbid water. Once testing of the trawl is complete, the device would need to be calibrated to trawls used for historic sampling.

Juvenile Salmon/Steelhead Emigration Studies Central Valley salmon and steelhead tagging-based studies are shifting towards acoustic tag 16 technologies. Generally, this involves the use of handling less fish for management information compared to traditional coded wire tag or other mark-17 recapture techniques. Active and planned studies such as VAMP-related research and East Bay Municipal Utility's delta migratory juvenile salmonid 18 19 survival study schedule for 2010 utilize hydroacoustic technologies. 2-Gates salmonid evaluations propose to utilize this information and receiver network where feasible and provide additional location information for existing studies by establishing receiving stations in the Project area. The 2-20 Gates explicit evaluations will require tagging of additional salmonids for site specific information and may include up to 500 individuals. 21 22 Hydroacoustic tags have demonstrated very low mortality rates on salmonids from handling and tagging when recommended tag weight to fish weight 23 ratios are adhered to, however; Adams, N.S., D.W. Rondorf, S.E. Evans, and J.E. KellyKelley (1998) and Adams, N.S., D.W. Rondorf, S.E. Evans, 24 J.E. KellyKelley, and R.W. Perry (1998b) describe other behavioral and physiological effects that could affect individuals. Since the 2-Gates evaluations are supplemental studies to the migration/behavioral studies in existence, little to no impact on total fish populations is expected from the 25 26 use of these additional fish.

Monitoring for Predators Video and sonic cameras and fish finders will be used to periodically inspect gate areas for predators. Other than the
potential for fish to avoid movement of the boat and be temporarily displaced upon positing the boat, the practice will be passive and have no effect on
populations of fish. Authorized electrofishing may cause mortalities of predator or non-native fishes and may also cause mortality of delta smelt and
salmonids. Prudent electrofishing-protocol, such as no electrofishing, gill netting or angling if salmonids or native fishes are harmed during collection,
will minimize negative effects on native fishes.

Comment [PB50]: Please explain what is meant by "compensate" in this sentence. Does it mean the increase in take associated with increased sampling would be compensated by reductions in entrainment as a result of 2-Gates operations?

Comment [PB51]: This study is not mentioned in the project description, but has the potential to take large numbers of delta smelt. More detail will be needed to evaluate the potential impact of this intensive level of sampling. [not 100% sure which study this refers to.]

Comment [PB52]: PEI is not by itself adequate for evaluating entrainment, as it relies on survey data without accounting for inherent uncertainty. For example, in 2009 surveys did not collect delta smelt in the central Delta. The PEI would have interpreted this to mean that no delta smelt occurred there; however, delta smelt were taken at the export pumps, demonstrating that they did indeed occur in the central Delta but could not be detected by the sampling gear.

Comment is misplaced

Comment [PB53]: While acknowledging that the physical facilities and operations create conditions favorable for predators, the document concludes, with no supporting analysis or even rationale, that this increased predation will not result in population level effects on any listed species. At current low population levels this is not a reasonable assumption for delta smelt without more rigorous analysis. Particle tracking does not reflect predation mortality. The final BA should include a predation risk analysis where exposure of particles to a zone of influence for predators around the gates can be .. [8]

Comment [PB54]: Will there be any efforts to remove predators upon detection?

Comment [PB55]: See other comment

August 19, 2009

5.6 **EFFECTS ON TERRESTRIAL SPECIES** 1

Pursuant to section 7(a)(2) of the ESA (16 U.S.C. §1536), Federal agencies are directed to ensure that their activities are not likely to jeopardize the 2 continued existence of any listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. The action may adversely affect giant 3 4 garter snake if they are found within the Action Area. Based on the results of the dry- and wet-season surveys, no vernal pool fairy shrimp, vernal pool 5 tadpole shrimp, or Conservancy fairy shrimp were detected, and the habitat was determined to be unsuitable for these species. There are no interrelated 6 or interdependent activities related to the action that would affect terrestrial species.

Giant Garter Snake (GGS) 7 5.6.1.1

8 Habitat suitable for GGS is present at both gate locations and the Holland Tract Alternate Storage site. The Project site is within habitat designated for 9 the recovery of the species, and GGS is assumed to be present. Construction of the Project has the potential to take individual snakes if they are present in the area subject to disturbance. GGS are active during the summer (season defined May 1 to September 30) and hibernate in upland burrows and 10 refugia during the winter (season defined October 1 to April 30). During the active period for GGS, a take of snakes could occur during the movement 11 of construction equipment and other vehicles, the removal of debris, rock and vegetation, grading, and by the installation of the sheet piles and the gate 12 13 structures. Although unlikely, foraging, resting, or migrating GGS could be directly killed by vehicular traffic on the levee roads accessing the Project site, or by construction equipment within the Project site. During the dormant period, GGS could be crushed or entombed during grading, the 14 installation of the sheet piles on the levees, and the removal of debris or rock in which snakes could be hibernating, or hibernating snakes could be 15 16 exposed during earthwork.

Land-based disturbance would occur during initial construction in October and November, during the dormant season, and during gate removal which 17 would be conducted during the active period of GGS. 18

Installation of the barge and gates during October and November and December would involve access along the roads and the rivers, but these 19 activities would not impact GGS because there would be no earthmoving work that could disturb, expose or entomb GGS hibernating in upland

20 refugia, and GGS would not be present above ground on roadways during this period. 21

22 Project construction may result in a temporary loss of habitat for GGS as upland refugia and burrows suitable for hibernation may be crushed by

23 earthmoving equipment, and debris piles that function as upland refugia are removed from within the laydown areas to accommodate construction

24 activities. The removal of emergent and riparian vegetation along the banks of Old River and Connection Slough, as well as the removal of upland vegetation within the construction zone could expose GGS to predation. The loss of upland refugia and vegetative cover within the Project 25

construction zone would be short-term impacts as burrowing mammals would likely recolonize areas disturbed during construction, and vegetative 26

cover would be quickly reestablished following disturbance. Furthermore, the 2-Gates Project is short-term by design, as it is intended to serve as a 27

pilot demonstratin project to test the effectiveness of these seasonally operated gates on the aquatic species of concern. The effects of the Project on 28

29 GGS would occur principally during construction activities and the removal of the gates. Comment [PB56]: All site disturbance that has the potential to result in take of giant garter snakes is planned to be conducted during their active period between May 1 and September 30. The BA should be updated if this schedule changes and land-side activity cannot be completed until after September 30, as required snake protection measures are different in the snake's inactive season.

I believe Judy said we'll have two sets of measures. Check meeting notes. Describe.

Comment [PB57]:

5-26

1 Gate operations are not expected to impact giant garter snakes or significantly impede their movement. The gates would be opened and closed over a

2 period of approximately 10 minutes. The snakes are highly mobile and would be able to move away from the gates during operation and around the

3 sheet piles on the levees when the gates are closed. \mathbf{x}

4 5.6.1.2 Vernal Pool Fairy Shrimp, Vernal Pool Tadpole Shrimp, Conservancy Fairy Shrimp

5 As discussed in Sections 3.2.2 to 3.2.4, no listed large branchiopods were detected during wet- and dry-season surveys. Since the wetland never

6 ponded water during any of the wet season site visits, the wetland basin was determined to be unsuitable for federally-listed large branchiopods. The

7 Dry- and Wet-Season Sampling for Federally Listed Large Branchiopods is provided enclosed in Appendix J. Therefore, the Project will have no

8 effect on these species.

9 5.6.1.3Swainson's Hawk

- 10 Project activities are not expected to require the removal of any trees so no direct effects to Swainson's hawk nesting habitat are anticipated. The
- 11 project does not propose the conversion of agricultural fields that may be used by Swainson's hawk for foraging to other uses. Thus, project activities
- 12 are not expected to affect foraging habitat. Installation of the Project facilities will not affect nesting activities of Swainson's hawk because
- 12 are not expected to uncert oraging motion of the resting season (mid-March to late July). Removal of the gates and boat ramps during the in-water work
- 14 window (July 1 through November 30) in 2014 would take place toward the end of the nesting season when young birds are active and nest
- 15 abandonment due to construction disturbance is extremely unlikely, or after the nesting season. The potential for construction activities to adversely
- affect the reproductive success of a nest decreases with the distance between the nest and construction disturbance. The potential for adverse effects is
- 17 high if construction directly impacts active nest trees while the potential for adverse effects is substantially reduced if construction activities are greater
- 18 than 200 yards from an active nest. However, in the event that nesting is delayed due to cold or wet spring weather, or the first nesting attempt fails
- 19 and a second nest effort occurs, fledging behavior can be delayed until well into August.
- 20 Project operations would not result in impacts to Swainson's hawk. Nesting and foraging habitat would not be impacted by gate operations, since
- 21 operations are not expected to disturb habitat, and birds nesting in proximity to the gates would presumably be habituated to ongoing operations since
- 22 operations would begin prior to the nesting season.
- 23 Therefore, the project would not adversely affect the nesting behavior of Swainson's hawk.

24 5.6.1.4Burrowing Owl

- 25 Land based construction activities, including the installation and removal of sheet piles, pile supported boat ramps, clearing, grading, the storage or
- 26 movement of rock or other construction materials, or disposal of dredge spoils could result in a direct take of individuals, if burrowing owls are present
- 27 in the disturbance area. Construction activities would not result in failure of a nest because all earth-moving work will occur outside the breeding
- 28 season. Gate operations would not adversely affect burrowing owls since the operations would not require land based earthwork.

August 19, 2009

Comment [PB58]: A clear determination of effect is needed for each listed species. This determination should address effects of the project on the species and their critical habitat. A table summarizing this information would help clarify the determinations made in the BA.

Comment [PB59]: Did the surveyors do monitoring after rain events?

This should be answered in earlier section.

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Comment [jb60]: I suggest that this text be placed back into the document since DWR noted the potential for delayed nesting and the comments about operations are thorough.

Comment [VJR61]: Included Judy's text because this is a federally listed species

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

1 5.6.1.5Western Pond Turtle

Western pond turtle (and the subspecies, northwestern pond turtle) has been documented to occur in the canal west of the Old River site on Holland 2 Tract. on the channel islands north of the Old River study area, and to the south, on Old River. Western or northwestern pond turtles could be crushed 3 or injured by construction equipment or vehicular traffic, if present within the Action Area during construction. Gate operations would not adversely 4 affect these organisms since operations would not alter their habitat or involve actions that could pose a direct or indirect threat to these mobile 5 animals. 6 7 Figure 5.2 shows the areas of upland and aquatic GGS habitat that would be impacted during gate construction. Upland habitat included areas above the high tide line subject to disturbance during construction, while aquatic habitat included areas of emergent vegetation within the project 8 construction area. At the Old River gate location, approximately 2.60 acres of upland habitat and 0.18 acres of aquatic habitat would be affected during 9 construction, while at the Connection Slough gate, approximately 6.94 acres of upland habitat and 0.08 acres of aquatic habitat would be affected 10 during constructionGate operations are not expected to impact giant garter snakes or significantly impede their movement. The gates would be opened 11 and closed over a period of approximately 10 minutes. The snakes are highly mobile and would be able to move away from the gates during operation 12 and around the sheet piles on the levees when the gates are closed. 13 14 Operations of the gates are not expected to flood existing upland GGS habitat during storm events when the gates would remain open. An analysis of 15 the effects of gate operations on flood stage in Old River and Connection Slough indicated that an increase of flood stage of less than 0.1 foot would occur during the large magnitude storm events that were modeled, including the flood event during January 1997, with a return period of about 50 16 vears, the February 1998 El Nino event, and the December 2005 flood event. The effect of the Project on flood stage is negligible; the Project would 17 not flood existing GGS upland habitat within the Project site or offsite (Moffatt & Nichol. 2009XX – Veronica – this citation is for the Hydrodynamic 18 19 Analysis of the Two Gate Fish Protection Plan, Moffatt & Nichol, March 31, 2009 – it must already appear in the references). 20 During normal operations, a head differential of up to 3.5 feet in Old River and less than 1.0 foot in Connection Slough may occur during periods of gate closure. These effects would occur only during gate closure and would be limited to Old River and Connection Slough. The difference in water

gate closure. These effects would occur only during gate closure and would be limited to Old River and Connection Slough. The difference in water surface elevation between the upstream and downstream sides of the gate would not exceed or fall below the range of tidal elevations in Old River or Connection Slough. The effects of gate operations on aquatic GGS habitat would be minimal since the range of water surface elevations would fall within the existing tidal prism and there would be no effect of gate operations on upland habitat (Moffatt & Nichol, 2009XX – Veronica – this citation

25 is for the Hydrodynamic Analysis of the Two Gate Fish Protection Plan, Moffatt & Nichol, March 31, 2009 - it must already appear in the references)

Comment [VJR62]: This is **listed** by the **federal** government and the State of California as a species of special concern - does it need to included?

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering



1

Figure 5.2 Giant Garter Snake Habitat Impact Areas

1

Page 5-8: [1] Comment [PB12]

Peter Boucher

8/18/2009 12:35:00 PM

The BA should quantify the breeding, feeding, sheltering, and migration habitat that is currently available to the delta smelt in the area between the gates and the pumps, using the current OCAP BP as the basis, not historic conditions as described in the BA. The BA should describe and quantify how each of these habitat parameters will change as a result of the 2-Gates project. This information will be valuable in assessing affects and in completing the BO.

RESPONSE: We can attempt to generally quantify the amount of aquatic habitat available between the gates and pumps but will not be able to complete before the 2nd Admin Draft of the BA is due on 8/19/09. Quantifying exactly the amount of breeding, feeding, sheltering and migration habitat may be problematic since data is not available describing the habitat characteristics existing within the reaches of Old River and Middle River affected by the 2-Gates project. Due to the pausity of data, we could assume that the entire reach between the gates and the pumps actually provides breeding, feeding, sheltering and migration habitat and quantify the anticipated effects relative the the amount of breeding, feeding, sheltering and migration habitat thought to be available throughout the Delta. The trick will be to quantify first the number of individuals actually affected by the potential loss of habitat, then extrapolate this to the relative proportion of the population, or populations, anticipated to be effected and then to the entire species as a whole.

Page 5-11: [2] Comment [PB21]Peter Boucher8/13/2009 3:29:00 PMThe effects analysis gives light treatment to the fate of fish spawing in the San Joaquin River and
Mokelumne. One way that 2-Gates differs substantially from the OCAP RPAs is that it further reduces the
likelihood of meaningful contribution of spawning in these areas to the delta smelt population, whereas the
OCAP RPAs were specifically designed to encourage conditions that would increase the likelihood and
frequency of successful spawning in these areas. Long term implementation of 2-Gates would have
significant population and recovery implications, by restricting effective habitat to the Sacramento River
portion of the population, in contrast to OCAP. The document says that "adult delta smelt prevented from
entering the central delta would need to find other areas to spawn". The analysis is incomplete – where
would these fish likely go, where is there suitable habitat, is there sufficient food there, and what is the
likelihood of successful spawning in these unnamed alternative locations?

Page 5-11: [3] Comment [A22]Aceituno8/13/2009 3:29:00 PMGENERAL COMMENT? For a species whose conservation status continues to diminish, such as
the delta smelt, the argument that they would need to find other areas to spawn, in order to derive
a benefit from the project, goes against the basic tenants of conservation biology. To demonstrate
that these individuals are likely to survive the effect of loss of habitat, it is important to quantify
and qualify the habitat that will remain available to them to complete essential life functions. It is
also important to demonstrate that these individuals would not be impacting other delta smelt that
are successfully spawning and reproducing elsewhere. In this instance, understanding and
articulating the quality of the habitat that the species is being forced to use is essential in the
determination of effects to the species. To make the BA useful for the purpose of estimating take
and evaluating jeopardy and adverse modification of critical habitat, the quality and quantity of
breeding, feeding, sheltering, and migration habitat, both lost and remaining between the gates
and the pumps, is essential, using the OCAP RPAs as the baseline (i.e., existing condition).

Page 5-18: [4] Comment [PB34]

Peter Boucher

8/13/2009 3:29:00 PM

These lines say "While this area may have historically been used for spawning, it is believed that the south Delta is not currently an important source for production of delta smelt." The RPA in the 2008 OCAP BO is intended to address this problem. This statement appears to reflect an improper interpretation of the environmental baseline established by the OCAP BO.

Page 5-18: [5] Comment [PB35]

The loss of Primary Constituent Element (PCE) #1 (physical habitat), resulting from placement of project structures, may indeed be minor. Loss of access to the remaining spawning substrate (also PCE#1) between the gates and the pumps may be more significant. Additional quantification is needed, specifically quantification of accessible spawning substrate between the gates and the pumps.

Page 5-18: [6] Comment [A36]Aceituno8/13/2009 3:29:00 PMThe discussion of potential effects to PCE #2 should be updated to recognize that PCE #2includes turbidity. PCE #2 is water of suitable quality for delta smelt, including temperature,turbidity, and food. The loss of turbidity from this area of critical habitat would constitute a lossof PCE #2 from the area between the gates and the pumps. The loss of a primary constituentelement, which includes turbidity as a component, should not be considered a benefit to thespecies. The BA should clearly quantify the loss of PCE #2 between the gates and the pumps andidentify all areas where PCE #2 would be enhanced as a result of the project.

PCE #3

Need to address potential effects of the project on PCE #3, flow, in this section. If the project is successful it will alter flow and thus alter critical habitat. The 2008 OCAP BO (pg 192) says of the conservation role of critical habitat that it must provide "sufficient connectivity to provide migratory pathways and the flow of energy, materials and organisms among the habitat components." Changes in flow velocity through Old River and Connection Slough will likely occur as a function of channel narrowing. Mildred Island is a net source of productivity to the Delta; what would be the impact to Delta productivity of placing a barrier in Connection Slough? Franks Tract is a net sink for productivity and a haven for predators; if successful, the project would tend to concentrate delta smelt in that area.

Peter Boucher	8/13/2009 3:29:00 PM
the FWS RPA OMR flow re	strictions "delay" the turbidity plume
these scenarios? Do the flow	v restrictions really delay the turbidity
or as fish follow the turbidit	y plume into the central and south
ize the entrainment risk when	n the plume spreads (and leads fish)
It seems that the 2-Gates scen	narios have built in as baseline the
have been used to represent	some sort of baseline condition. The
aquin spawners – the BA nee	ds to compare the effectiveness of the
of the delta, compare that to	the FWS RPAs, and integrate that into
nis discussion somewhat miss	represents the FWS RPA. The
ment risk by manipulating th	e area of influence of the entrainment
To the extent it does this, it	is only incidental. Under the FWS
is often above 15 ntu, which	means that we would consider it
t could be present but not sal	vaged due to reduced flows. The
d salvage to reduced turbidit	y, and shows how 2-Gate operation
t it is in fact an <mark>entirely diffe</mark> r	ent relationship and a significant
ons under the RPA and 2-Ga	tes.
	Peter Boucher the FWS RPA OMR flow re these scenarios? Do the flow or as fish follow the turbidity ize the entrainment risk wher It seems that the 2-Gates scen have been used to represent aquin spawners – the BA nee of the delta, compare that to the is discussion somewhat mist ment risk by manipulating th To the extent it does this, it if is often above 15 ntu, which t could be present but not sal d salvage to reduced turbidity t it is in fact an entirely differ ons under the RPA and 2-Gat

Baseline - export rates that "delay" turbidity plume

What drives entrainment?

Did our baseline assume high exports?

Analysis – effectiveness of FWS RPAs for spawning in all delta areas and integrate this into a population level effect (smelt?).

Why would reduced flows preclude salvage?

Turbidity vs. flow effects on entrainment.

Page 5-25: [8] Comment [PB53]	Peter Boucher	8/13/2009 3:29:00 PM
While acknowledging that the physical	facilities and operations create	conditions favorable for predators,
the document concludes, with no suppo	orting analysis or even rationale	e, that this increased predation will
not result in population level effects or	any listed species. At current	low population levels this is not a
reasonable assumption for delta smelt	without more rigorous analysis.	Particle tracking does not reflect
predation mortality. The final BA show	uld include a predation risk anal	lysis where exposure of particles to a
zone of influence for predators around	the gates can be used to develop	p conclusions about the exposure risk
of smelt to increased predation due to o	conditions created by both prese	ence of the structures and gate
operation (smelt that may be trapped b	ehind the gate during flood tide	closure). The analysis about the
effects of the physical structures to aqu	atic species also does not addre	ess the likelihood or extent of
turbidity changes that may arise in the	area as a result of sheet pile inst	tallation, or the risk of creating
favorable conditions for establishing ne	ew populations of nonnative aqu	uatic plants and animals that do not
currently exist in the area.		